```
ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
APRIL 10, 2014

ESTATE OF GERALD D. )
SLIGHTOM, )
Petitioner, )
Petitioner, )
No. PCB 11-25
VS (UST Appeal)
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL )
PROTECTION AGENCY, )
Respondent. )
```

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS had at the hearing on a motion of the above-entitled cause before the Honorable CAROL WEBB, Hearing Officer, Illinois Pollution Control Board, 1021 North Grand Avenue East, Springfield, Illinois, on the 10th day of April, 2014, at the hour of 9:31 a.m.

```
Page 2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
        MOHAN, ALEWELT, PRILLAMAN & ADAMI
        BY: MR. PATRICK D. SHAW
 3
        1 North Old Capitol Plaza
        Suite 325
        Springfield, Illinois 62701
 4
        (217) 528-2517
 5
                Appeared on behalf of the Petitioner;
 6
        ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
 7
        BY: MS. MELANIE JARVIS
        1021 North Grand Avenue East
 8
        PO Box 19276
        Springfield, Illinois 62794
        (217) 782-2893
 9
10
                Appeared on behalf of the Respondent;
11
12
     REPORTED BY:
13
           Steven J. Brickey, CSR
           CSR License No. 084-004675
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

			Page 3
1	I N D E X		
2	THE WITNESS: SHANE THORPE		
3		PAGE	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Shaw	8	
5	Cross-Examination by Ms. Jarvis	27	
6	Redirect Examination by Mr. Shaw	31	
7	Recross-Examination by Ms. Jarvis	31	
8			
9	THE WITNESS: CATHERINE ELSTON		
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Shaw	35	
11			
12	THE WITNESS: BRIAN BAUER		
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Shaw	50	
14	Cross-Examination by Ms. Jarvis	70	
15			
16	THE WITNESS: HERNANDO ALBARRACIN		
17	Direct Examination by Ms. Jarvis	73	
18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Shaw	83	
19	Redirect Examination by Ms. Jarvis	90	
20	Recross-Examination by Mr. Shaw	91	
21			
22			
23			
24			

	I	Page	4
1	EXHIBITS		
2			
3	Marked for Identification		
4	Identification		
5	Exhibit No. 1 8		
6	Exhibit No. 2 10		
7	Exhibit No. 3 10		
8	Exhibit No. 4		
9	Exhibit No. 5		
10	Exhibit No. 6		
11	Exhibit No. 7		
12	Exhibit No. 8 40		
13	Exhibit No. 9 56		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

April 10, 2014

Page 5 1 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: We'll go 2 ahead and begin. Good morning. My name is Carol 3 Webb. This is the hearing for PCB 11-25: Estate 4 of Gerald Slightom versus IEPA. It is April 10th 5 and we are beginning at 9:30 a.m. Although the 6 petitioner is located in Macoupin County, there 7 was no known public interest in this case so I 8 granted the party's request to hold the hearing in 9 Springfield. I will note for the record that 10 there are no members of the public present. 11 Members of the public are allowed to provide 12 public comment. 13 This case stems from a 14 reimbursement claim relating to petitioner's 15 property at 103 North Third Street in Gerard, 16 Illinois. The decision deadline is August 21st. 17 The Pollution Control Board members will make the 18 final decision in this case. My purpose is to 19 conduct the hearing in a neutral and orderly 2.0 manner so that we have a clear record of the 2.1 proceedings. 22 This hearing was noticed 23 pursuant to the act and the Board's rules and will 24 be conducted pursuant to Section's 101.600 through

```
Page 6
1
     101.632 of the Board's procedural rules. At this
2
     time I will ask the parties to please make their
3
     appearances on the record.
4
                  MR. SHAW: Patrick Shaw for
5
     petitioner, estate of Gerald Slightom.
                  MS. JARVIS: Melanie Jarvis for the
6
7
     Illinois Environmental Protection Agency.
8
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Thank you.
9
     Would the petitioner like to make an opening
10
     statement?
                  MR. SHAW: The petitioner will waive
11
12
     opening statement.
13
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Okav.
14
     Ms. Jarvis, would you like to make an opening
15
     statement?
16
                  MS. JARVIS: Yes, we would.
                                               First
17
     of all, we do object to this entire proceeding as
18
     being unnecessary because the case could have been
19
     decided pursuant to the cross motions of summary
20
     judgment that were previously filed in this case.
2.1
     Further, of course, we object because we've
22
     already resolved the case and gave the petitioner
23
     the money that was sought for.
24
                       Basically, this is a very simple
```

April 10, 2014

Page 7

case. There is going to be no new information that I'm aware of that is going to be brought to light today and, therefore, there really was no need for this hearing. It is clear per the law that there is one deductible per site and it is also clear from the Board's regulations that when there are two deductibles issued for the same site, that only one deductible applies.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Now, it is very important to note that the deductibles go per site, not per person or per owner. The estate should not stand in a better position than the decedent. estate is a legal fiction that stands in the place of a decedent and there is no way that the estate should have a lower deductible than the decedent did in his lifetime. This case will also show that there was a mistake made by the Office of the State Fire Marshall, that when presented with the information about the tanks at this site the law clearly states that a \$100,000 deductible should have applied and the Office of the State Fire Marshall made a mistake and issued a deductible for \$10,000.

Once again, we believe that it

	Page 8
1	is one deductible per site. We have a higher
2	deductible and the lower deductible a higher
3	deductible and a lower deductible and the higher
4	deductible applies. Thanks.
5	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
6	Petitioner may call its first witness.
7	MR. SHAW: Petitioner would like to
8	call Shane Thorpe to the stand.
9	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Sit
10	right up here. Would you please swear in the
11	witness.
12	WHEREUPON:
13	SHANE THORPE
14	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
15	sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. SHAW
18	Q. Could the witness please state your
19	name for the record?
20	A. Shane Thorpe.
21	Q. Mr. Thorpe, what is your current
22	occupation?
23	A. I'm a senior project manager with
24	CSD Environmental Services in Springfield.

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 04/22/2014 April 10, 2014

Page 9 1 And how long have you held that 0. 2 title or job? 3 Α. I've spent nine years total with CSD and approximately 18 years in the field. 4 5 And during this time how much of your work was involving underground storage tanks? 6 7 Α. Probably at least 75 percent. 8 Q. What is your highest education level 9 you've obtained? Bachelor of Science in Environmental 10 Α. 11 Health from Illinois State University. When did you first become familiar 12 Q. with the LUST site that I will call the Robinson 13 14 service station? 15 We were contacted by the attorney Α. for the estate in November of 2007. 16 17 What is the attorney's name? Q. 18 Α. Bill Nicholson. 19 What was the nature of his contact? 0. Well, he had -- the owner of the 20 Α. 2.1 property had deceased and so his estate was trying 22 to clean things up and I guess they knew there 23 must have been -- they must have known there was 24 an incident number on the property and they were

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office : 04/22/2014April 10, 2014

	Page 10
1	interested in obtaining a no further remediation
2	letter provided that they could receive
3	reimbursement from the fund and that the
4	deductible was going to be no more than \$15,000.
5	Q. What were your first
6	responsibilities you took for this client?
7	A. I looked at the information
8	available on the EPA's database and the OSFM
9	database and then did a FOIA request to the Fire
10	Marshall's Office for their records.
11	MR. SHAW: Could you mark this as
12	Petitioner's Exhibit 1 for me.
13	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I can mark
14	it.
15	(Document marked as Petitioner's
16	Exhibit No. 1 for
17	identification.)
18	BY MR. SHAW:
19	Q. Could you please look at
20	Petitioner's Exhibit 1 for me, please.
21	A. This is the information I printed
22	off initially on November 16th of 2007.
23	Q. Is this information that you looked
24	at for the new client?

April 10, 2014

Page 11 1 Well, they weren't a client yet, but Α. 2 yes. 3 Okay. What -- in terms of what Q. 4 steps did you take in relationship to the service 5 station before the estate became a client? What 6 were you doing at that point? 7 Α. Well, the main issue was to see if 8 they could get into the fund with a \$15,000 deductible or less. 9 10 And so this Petitioner's Exhibit 1 11 was part of that investigation process? 12 understanding that correctly? 13 Yes, I reviewed the agency's Α. 14 database and then the fire marshall's database as 15 well. 16 What did your review of the EPA's 0. 17 database tell you? 18 That not a whole lot had been done 19 There had never been a 45-day report 20 submitted. Apparently, I didn't print the claims 2.1 page, but there was no evidence that a claim had 22 been submitted. So basically it looked like 23 nothing had been done in accordance with the 24 regulations.

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 04/22/2014 April 10, 2014

Page 12 1 And this is a database that is 0. 2 available online to the general public that you 3 were looking at? 4 Α. Correct. MR. SHAW: I'd like to have this 5 marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 2. 6 7 (Document marked as Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2 for 8 9 identification.) BY MR. SHAW: 10 11 Can you please review Petitioner's 12 Exhibit 2 for me? 13 This is a FOIA request to the fire 14 marshall that I submitted on November 19th of 15 2007. MR. SHAW: If I can have this marked 16 17 as Petitioner's Exhibit 3. 18 (Document marked as Petitioner's 19 Exhibit No. 3 for 20 identification.) BY MR. SHAW: 2.1 22 Can you please review Petitioner's Q. 23 Exhibit 3 for me? 24 Α. This is the cover letter that came

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office : 04/22/2014April 10, 2014

	Page 13
1	back with the information from the Fire Marshall's
2	Office from the FOIA request.
3	Q. And this came with documents
4	enclosed?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. This is just I'm just providing
7	you the cover sheet. When you looked at the
8	information you received from the State Fire
9	Marshall, what did that tell you about the
10	deductible and the cost with regards to the LUST
11	program?
12	A. It appeared to me that there was
13	the site would be eligible for the LUST fund with
14	a \$15,000 deductible.
15	Q. And that was just from looking at
16	the registration information that was in there?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Was there any evidence that a
19	deductibility determination had been made
20	previously in that file?
21	A. No, there was not.
22	Q. A deductibility determination made
23	previously by the agency?
24	A. No, there was not.

	Page 14
1	MR. SHAW: Hearing Officer, will it
2	be easier if I just mark these myself?
3	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Sure. That's
4	fine.
5	BY MR. SHAW:
6	Q. I'm approaching you with something
7	I've marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 4. Can you
8	review that for me, please?
9	(Document marked as Petitioner's
10	Exhibit No. 4 for
11	identification.)
12	BY THE WITNESS:
13	A. This is a letter from the fire
14	marshall to the former property owner telling him
15	that the underground tanks on the property needed
16	to be registered.
17	BY MR. SHAW:
18	Q. Is this a document that was obtained
19	through the FOIA from the State Fire Marshall?
20	A. Yes, it was.
21	Q. I'm going to approach you with what
22	I've marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 5.
23	
24	

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 04/22/2014 April 10, 2014

	Page 15
1	(Document marked as Petitioner's
2	Exhibit No. 5 for
3	identification.)
4	BY MR. SHAW:
5	Q. Do you recognize Petitioner's
6	Exhibit 5?
7	A. Yes, this is an amended notification
8	form to the fire marshall stating that the tanks
9	have been removed.
10	Q. And where did you obtain this form?
11	A. This was in the FOIA request as
12	well.
13	Q. And I'm going to approach you with
14	what I've marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 6.
15	(Document marked as Petitioner's
16	Exhibit No. 6 for
17	identification.)
18	BY THE WITNESS:
19	A. I believe there was an earlier
20	version of this form.
21	BY MR. SHAW:
22	Q. An earlier version of Petitioner's
23	Exhibit 5?
24	A. Yes.

Page 16

	1490 10
1	Q. Okay. I think there are there
2	may be other forms already in the record as well,
3	but that's one of them, I guess.
4	A. Yes, this was not the initial
5	notification I don't believe.
6	Q. Can you identify Petitioner's
7	Exhibit 6 for me, please?
8	A. It's a letter from the fire marshall
9	to the former owner. It says that he has complied
10	with their administrative order from April 11th of
11	1990, which required that he register the tanks.
12	Q. And where did you obtain that
13	document?
14	A. This was also in the FOIA request.
15	Q. After you had reviewed the FOIA
16	request materials from the State Fire Marshall and
17	reviewed the database from the agency, what
18	actions did CSD Environmental then take?
19	A. We prepared an eligibility
20	application to OSFM and submitted it.
21	Q. And at this point you are now
22	considering the estate of Slightom your client at
23	that point?
24	A. We had an agreement that they were a

```
Page 17
 1
     client contingent upon receiving a deductible of
 2
     $15,000 or less. We were retained specifically to
 3
     submit the eligibility application initially.
 4
                              I'm sorry. A little mess
                  MR. SHAW:
 5
     up on my documents here. I have one last
 6
     document.
     BY MR. SHAW:
 7
 8
           Q.
                  I'm approaching you with what I have
 9
     marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 7.
10
                        (Document marked as Petitioner's
                        Exhibit No. 7 for
11
12
                        identification.)
13
     BY THE WITNESS:
                  This is our application to the fire
14
           Α.
15
     marshall for eligibility and deductibility
16
     determination along with an amended notification
17
     form registering the tanks in the estate of
18
     Slightom's name.
     BY MR. SHAW:
19
20
                  And what response did you receive
2.1
     from the State Fire Marshall for the request for
22
     the eligibility deductibility determination?
23
                  I believe initially they requested
           Α.
24
     some initial or some additional information and
```

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office : 04/22/2014April 10, 2014

	Page 18
1	then we gave them that and then we got a \$10,000
2	deductible determination.
3	Q. So that was better than you
4	anticipated, I imagine?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. The client was happy?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. And you were going forward with the
9	cleanup at that point in time, I assume?
10	A. The next step was to prepare an
11	election to proceed under Title 16 as the owner
12	for the estate, yes.
13	Q. So basically for the State Fire
14	Marshall to go forward the estate needed to
15	register the tanks for themselves and now to go
16	forward with the Illinois Environmental Protection
17	Agency the estate needed to elect to proceed as
18	the owner with them?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. Is it two different processes for
21	two different programs, I assume?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. Excited to get to the cleanup part,
24	but I guess you always have to get the paperwork

Page 19

first. And was the election to proceed approved and accepted?

A. It was.

2.1

Q. Now, I think -- I skipped through some of these documents because the documents speak for themselves and we have already a lot of documents in the record. So, you know, if I'm asking a question and the answer is a document, just feel comfortable saying -- identifying the document for me. I don't want to be sitting here making you read documents that are essentially we all know what they are from reading them.

But now that the election to proceed has been -- the election to proceed as the owner has been approved and I assume most of the initial paperwork stuff is done, what kind of remediation work was initiated?

A. Well, we submitted the 45-day report form that had a stage one certification that went in with the election to proceed as owner. Once the agency approved that then we went out and did a stage one site investigation, which consisted of drilling and sampling soil and groundwater on the site.

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office : 04/22/2014April 10, 2014

	Page 20
1	Q. Okay. So the remediation in this
2	situation I guess frequently now is a lot of
3	investigation at the front end, right?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. When you did the stage one work, did
6	you get paid for that?
7	A. We did.
8	Q. What was the deductible for that
9	work?
10	A. \$10,000.
11	Q. And the result of the stage one
12	was what investigative conclusions could be
13	drawn at that point?
14	A. That there was contamination at one
15	or more of the property boundaries so we were
16	required to move offsite to investigate offsite
17	properties.
L 8	Q. Could you give me a little better
19	description of this property? I assume at one
20	time it was a service station because of its name?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. Was there anything remotely like a
23	service station facility there by the time CSD
24	Environmental was there?

Page 21

	Page 21
1	A. Yeah, the building itself was still
2	there. It had, like, two service bays I think and
3	a little area where customers would go in and pay.
4	Q. And how big is the lot for this
5	service station?
6	A. It is relatively small. I'm going
7	to say like maybe 50 by 100.
8	Q. So this is the old style service
9	station that didn't get most of its money from
10	selling everything besides gas?
11	A. They couldn't fit one of the modern
12	day convenience stores on the site probably.
13	Q. So do you recall how many directions
14	it looked like the boundary was being exceeded by
15	contamination?
16	A. I don't recall specifically. I know
17	at least to the property to the north, which is a
18	residential property, and we also had to go east,
19	I believe.
20	Q. So what would so following that,
21	following the completion of stage one, what was
22	the next stuff of the materials you submitted

We submitted a stage three site

23

24

to the agency?

Α.

	Page 22
1	investigation plan and budget that had our stage
2	one actual costs and a proposal to proceed with
3	stage three.
4	Q. And my notes indicated that there
5	were four stage three site investigation plans and
6	budgets, is that correct?
7	A. That sounds correct.
8	Q. And did that relate to four
9	different tasks that were to be performed at the
10	site?
11	A. Correct. Each time was going out
12	and investigating further from the source.
13	Q. So initially there was contamination
14	identified on the neighboring property, but you
15	still didn't have enough information I assume to
16	know the extent?
17	A. Right. It still exceeded
18	remediation objectives. So we're required to move
19	out further until we get clean samples.
20	Q. After you did each stage three site
21	investigation, were you paid by the fund?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Are you allowed to be paid by the
24	fund at that point?

		Page	23
1	A. No.		
2	Q. So you had to do four different		
3	stage three site investigations and when did that		
4	stop?		
5	A. In 2010, when we submitted the Site		
6	Investigation Completion Report.		
7	Q. And at that point what could you		
8	conclude at that point?		
9	A. We had defined the extent of		
10	contamination.		
11	Q. And each of these site investigation		
12	plans that got submitted also included a budget?		
13	A. Correct.		
14	Q. And for what was the nature of		
15	the budget submitted for the stage three site		
16	investigation plans?		
17	A. I can't speak to what the purpose of		
18	submitting those budgets really is.		
19	Q. I'm not asking for the purpose.		
20	A. We're required to submit the budget		
21	and then the agency reviews it. They don't		
22	actually approve the budget, per se, because we		
23	don't get dollar amounts that we can submit for		
24	reimbursement, but it's a chance for them to		

	Page 24
1	review the activities and make sure that
2	everything is proposed in accordance with the
3	regulations and with the Subpart H rates.
4	Q. Am I understanding correctly that
5	the budget essentially is a commitment to work
6	within the Subpart H rates? Is that kind of what
7	that does?
8	A. I think that's safe to say, yeah.
9	Correct.
10	Q. And then what kind of budget
11	information was provided with the stage three Site
12	Investigation Completion Report?
13	A. The stage the actual costs that
14	were incurred during stage three.
15	Q. And was the stage three site
16	investigation report approved?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Were there any reductions to the
19	dollars that were asked for?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Was there any imposition that there
22	was an additional deductible at that time?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Is it fair to say that before the

Page 25

stage three site investigation work was performed that work was approved by the agency in each instance?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. And before the site investigation -stage three site investigation work was performed,
 the costs were approved in accordance with
 complying with Subpart H regulations, is that a
 fair statement of that? I probably mangled it.
 If you would like to describe that better, please
 do.
- A. Yeah. I mean, I think the agency still has the -- they can still deny things when you submit your actual costs, but, yes, there were no -- I don't recall getting any specific denials to anything that we proposed there.
- Q. So you've had your site completion report approved and I assume at this point you're allowed to go get paid for the work that you've done, correct?
 - A. In almost every instance, yes.
 - Q. How do you go about doing that?
- A. We submitted a reimbursement
- 24 request.

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office : 04/22/2014April 10, 2014

	Page 26
1	Q. And this is a similar reimbursement
2	request that you had submitted after the stage one
3	work was performed, is that correct?
4	A. Similar, yes.
5	Q. And what response did you receive
6	for the payment request?
7	A. That the estate essentially owed the
8	agency money. That a \$100,000 deductible should
9	be applied and we had been overpaid.
10	Q. This is the letter that is the
11	subject of this lawsuit, correct?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. Before receiving that letter, did
14	you have had you ever heard of a \$100,000
15	deductible determination being made by the agency?
16	A. Not on this particular site, no.
17	Q. I mean, on this site.
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. I know that those such things exist.
20	A. Yeah.
21	Q. They didn't just create that out of
22	thin air.
23	Did you receive any call from
24	the agency giving you a warning that this was an

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 04/22/2014 April 10, 2014

	Page 27
1	issue?
2	A. No, I did not.
3	Q. Now, given your contract with the
4	client, would this work had been performed if the
5	deductible had been \$100,000?
6	A. No, it would not.
7	MR. SHAW: I don't have any further
8	questions.
9	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
10	MS. JARVIS: Okay.
11	CROSS EXAMINATION
12	BY MS. JARVIS
13	Q. So how long again have you worked
14	with leaking underground storage tanks?
15	A. Approximately, 18 years.
16	Q. Eighteen years. And are you
17	responsible for submitting the applications for
18	eligibility and deductibility?
19	A. I do.
20	Q. Did you submit the application for
21	this site?
22	A. I did.
23	Q. I believe this is part of Exhibit 7,
24	but it is also page 34 of the record. Okay. So

Page 28

the page that I want you to look at is the UST
information sheet, which is page four of that
record.
Now, you had stated that
after you looked online and looked at the IEPA
and OSFM databases and then you also FOIA'd OSFM,

A. Yes.

2.1

correct?

- Q. And you thought you were going to get a \$15,000 deductible?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Could you read to me -- I believe this is Section 57.9 of the Act. If you can read this subsection three to me. If you can read it out loud.
- A. "A deductible of \$15,000 shall apply when one or more, but not all of the underground storage tanks were registered prior to July 28th, 1989, and the state received notice of the confirmed release on or after July 28th, 1989."
- Q. Okay. I want to direct you to that information sheet there. What was the date of the registration of the tanks?
 - A. April 18th of 1990.

Page 29

Q. Okay. So if it was April 18th of
1990 and the law says that they have to be
registered prior to July 28th, 1989, can you
explain why you thought you got a \$15,000
deductible?
A. Because one of them was a heating
oil tank for consumptive use on the premises.
Q. Just one of them was. Now, when we
look at what you've put there and we look at
number one here it says "A deductible of \$10,000
shall apply when none of the underground storage
tanks were registered prior to July 28th, 1989,
except in the case of underground storage tanks
used exclusively for heating oil."
So we have one heating oil tank
and we have four underground tanks, correct?
A. The heating oil is an underground
tank as well.
Q. Right. But we have underground
gasoline tanks I guess I should state?
A. Three gasoline, one used oil and one
heating oil.
Q. Okay. So when you looked at that,
you were sure you were going to get a \$15,000

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office : 04/22/2014April 10, 2014

	Page 30
1	deductible, but not the \$100,000 deductible
2	because one of the underground tanks was heating
3	oil?
4	A. I wouldn't say I was sure.
5	Q. Okay.
6	A. I would say that that was my
7	inclination.
8	Q. But you also didn't know whether or
9	not it was going to be a \$100,000 deductible
LO	because all the tanks were registered after the
11	1989 date?
12	A. There is another provision in there
13	for the heating oil.
L 4	Q. Yes, there is. For the one tank.
15	And they have to be used exclusively to store
L 6	heating oil and all the tanks are not used
L7	exclusively to store heating oil, are they?
18	A. No.
L 9	Q. Just one of the five tanks?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. Now, after you did your due
22	diligence and you FOIA'd the OSFM file, did you
23	ever think to FOIA the IEPA file?
24	A. Not at that time.

Page 31 1 However, you didn't FOIA it until 0. 2 after this appeal, is that correct? 3 I don't recall the date. Α. 4 MS. JARVIS: Okay. I have nothing further at this time. 5 6 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Shaw, 7 anything further for you? 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHAW 9 10 Mr. Thorpe, you've indicated you've Q. 11 done underground storage tank work for 18 years. 12 In that time, has it ever been your experience that the Illinois Environmental 13 14 Protection Agency has overridden an Office of the 15 State Fire Marshall deductibility determination? Not until this case. 16 Α. 17 MR. SHAW: No further questions. 18 MS. JARVIS: I have a question based 19 on that. 20 RECROSS EXAMINATION 2.1 BY MS. JARVIS 22 We have two deductibles that have Q. 23 been issued here, correct, per this site? 24 Α. Correct.

Page 32

Q. And, to your knowledge, at the time that the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency issued its deductibility in I believe it was
issued its deductibility in I believe it was
let me just find the date and the page. In 1991,
it had the authority to issue those deductibles?
A. They did.
Q. So, in this case, it is not really
the Illinois EPA overriding a previous decision by
the fire marshall, but asserting its own decision
that it was legally capable of issuing?
A. I don't believe that to be the case.
Q. You don't believe that we were
legally capable of issuing the deductible or you
believe that OSFM previously issued one?
A. I think that my client, the estate,
elected to proceed under Title 16.
Q. Right. But
A. Which says that
Q we've already established that it
gave one dedugatible non gite
says one deductible per site.
A. That deductible wasn't made. The
A. That deductible wasn't made. The

April 10, 2014

Page 33 1 make it invalid that all prior decisions -- it 2 just now asserted that the fire marshall gets to 3 make those decisions? It didn't invalidate any 4 decisions made prior to that date? 5 Α. (Nod.) 6 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. 7 that a yes or a no? 8 THE WITNESS: I don't know if there 9 was a question there. BY MS. JARVIS: 10 11 Basically what you're saying is that Q. 12 any decision the Illinois EPA made prior to the 13 fire marshall taking over this, in your opinion, 14 is invalid? If we were proceeding under 15 Α. No. 16 731, then that decision would be valid. 17 But the deductible sections are Q. 18 under all three. Deductibles aren't made under a 19 certain period of the law. 20 Right. But we're proceeding under Α. Title 16. I think that Title 16 laws should 2.1 22 apply. 23 But even if it does apply, it says 24 here that you get a \$100,000 deductible because

April 10, 2014 Page 34 1 your tanks weren't registered prior to the 1989 2 date. 3 MR. SHAW: Objection. You're 4 assuming facts. 5 MS. JARVIS: I'm assuming facts you put in evidence and that are in the record. 6 7 MR. SHAW: He just told you what his 8 position on the deductible was. You can't just 9 say assuming something I believe is true is 10 correct. 11 MS. JARVIS: It's the law. It is 12 correct. 13 MR. SHAW: Then don't ask a 14 non-lawyer a legal question, how about that? 15 MS. JARVIS: Okay. I won't ask a non-lawyer a legal question. However, he can't 16 17 also determine that a decision made by the Illinois EPA is invalid because it was done 18 19 prior -- under prior law. The deductible is one 20 per site. I have no further questions. MR. SHAW: I don't have any 2.1 22 questions.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.

may step down, Mr. Thorpe.

You

23

24

•	Page 35
1	THE WITNESS: Do I leave this stuff
2	here?
3	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yes, please.
4	Would you like to take five before your next
5	witness or do you just want to push through?
6	MR. SHAW: I'm ready to go.
7	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
8	MS. JARVIS: I'm fine. I think
9	she's ready. She'd like to testify and get out of
10	here.
11	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Call your
12	next witness.
13	WHEREUPON:
14	CATHERINE ELSTON
15	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
16	sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. SHAW
19	Q. Could the witness please state your
20	name for the record?
21	A. Catherine Elston.
22	Q. Good morning, Ms. Elston. Could you
23	please give me your job title?
24	A. I'm an Account Tech II.

Page 36 1 0. And how long have you had that 2 title? 3 Α. Fifteen years. 4 And you say Account Tech II with the 0. 5 Illinois Protection Agency for 15 years? Yes. I've been employed by the 6 7 state for 37. 8 Q. What is your -- what is your job --9 how would you describe what you do as an account 10 tech technician these days currently? I check on the claims, the math on 11 Α. them, ensuring that they're within the Subpart H 12 13 rates. I prepare the documentation for the 14 payments. 15 And how long has that been your job Q. 16 description? 17 Α. For the 15 years. 18 Fifteen years. So the program has Q. 19 changed over time, but you're still on the what I 20 would call it the payment end of things? 2.1 Α. Yes. 22 My understanding in the division of Q. 23 the LUST division is that there are some people 24 that are looking at the technical documents

Page 37 1 particularly upfront and then there are people who 2 are looking more at the accounting materials 3 towards the end, is that correct? 4 Α. Yes. 5 0. Do you have a better description of 6 that than I just offered? A term? 7 Α. I prepare the reimbursement. 8 check the reimbursement claims. That's all I see 9 and prepare the payments. 10 I think an insurance business they 11 would call that claims management or the claims 12 manager, but -- which isn't a question. It's an 13 aside. I apologize. 14 What training did you receive 15 for this job? 16 Α. As far as -- you know, I mean, the 17 math and then reading the regulations. 18 Does the agency have a special Q. 19 training program for this? 20 Α. No. 2.1 Q. Let me -- just so that I don't 22 mis-describe your job, let me just -- and what I'm 23 really kind of talking about is the time period in 24 which we're in dispute. I kind of don't want to

Page 38 1 know 20 years ago and maybe things have changed 2 recently. 3 At the time period you were 4 reviewing reimbursement claims, how did you 5 receive -- how did you first get into the file? 6 How did you first get a case or a new claim? 7 Α. It is -- the claim is received. is date stamped. We do everything in date order. 8 9 We pull the file, prepare the documentation. 10 Okay. When you say you pull the 11 file, what file do you pull? If there is any previous claims, we 12 Α. 13 have a folder that has the budgets and our summary 14 that lists every budget that has been approved and 15 how much has been paid on each of the claims for that particular classification. 16 17 Just to give me -- just for me to Q. 18 give some examples. Would this file have in it, 19 say, a corrective action plan? 20 Α. No. 2.1 So that is stuff that is kept with Q.

A. That's the technical.

the earlier review?

22

23

24

Q. The technical. So if you have a new

Page 39 1 underground storage tank site, the first time a 2 reimbursement claim comes to someone like you 3 you've got the information that was submitted by 4 the claimant? 5 Α. Right. 6 Q. What other information do you have 7 at that point? 8 At that point, I would print off a Α. 9 copy of the OSFM letter. Depending what type of 10 claim it is, I would check on the budgets, print off the budgets. 11 12 Q. When you say print off the OSFM 13 letter, what are you printing that out of? What 14 is that --15 Well, we receive a copy of it and 16 then it goes to -- sometimes there is a paper copy 17 that we just take out of the file that they've 18 submitted to us. On some of the older ones, it's 19 already gone to DocuWare. 20 So OSFM when it makes its Q. 2.1 deductibility determination copies the agency? 22 Α. Right.

reimbursement, there was already information about

So when you got the first claim for

23

24

Q.

	Page 40
1	this at least in terms of there being a
2	deductibility determination and that's in your
3	file or that's in the general agency file?
4	A. We have a when they submit it to
5	us, we have a file cabinet that we put it in.
6	Q. Okay. And part of your practice in
7	looking for or reviewing reimbursement claims do
8	you look for multiple deductibility
9	determinations?
10	A. If it is in the file, it would come
11	to light and on our database we have what the
12	deductible is.
13	Q. Now, would that file include an
14	agency determination made back in the early '90s?
15	A. Sometimes they do. Most of the
16	times.
17	Q. When did you first become when
18	were you first assigned to the reimbursement claim
19	for the estate of Slightom?
20	A. I did the one in I think it was
21	2010.
22	Q. I guess the reason
23	A. I did not do the first one.
24	Q. That's kind of what I was asking.

Page 41 1 It looks like there was a prior one. Was there 2 anything unusual about someone else looking at an 3 earlier reimbursement claim? 4 Α. We do them in date order. So we 5 signed them out. Whoever needed work, you know, 6 signed the next one out. 7 So there was no necessary Q. 8 inconsistency? 9 There was no consistency. Α. No. 10 Ο. When did you first identify or learn 11 about the agency's \$100,000 deductible for the 12 estate of Slightom? At the time I was not the one that 13 Α. 14 was looking at them first at that point. Brian 15 Bauer had already looked through the claim and we identified that there was a \$100,000. 16 17 What is Brian Bauer's role in Q. 18

reviewing the reimbursement request?

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Well, he looks them over before they're handed out to be reviewed for the math.
- So when you first got assigned this Q. reimbursement request for the estate of Slightom, was there already some notification that there was a \$100,000 deductible issue or did that come

April 10, 2014

Page 42 1 later? 2 Α. I'm -- to tell you the truth, I'm 3 not really sure. I don't really remember. was several years ago. 4 5 Completely understandable. 6 going to -- where am I at? 7 MS. JARVIS: I believe we're on 8. 8 MR. SHAW: I'm still checking. 9 (Document marked as Petitioner's Exhibit No. 8 for 10 identification.) 11 12 BY MR. SHAW: 13 Ο. I'm going to hand you something that 14 has been marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 8 and I'm 15 going to represent just for the record that this 16 is -- I took this from what the agency filed 17 before the Board. I'm not sure at what point in 18 time, but it's page 109 through page 119 of the --19 one part of the agency record and I just pulled it 20 out because it looks like this is a part that has 2.1 some bearing on the financial stuff and I know it 22 is kind of a lot of papers that are jotted 23 together, but could you just review that closely 24 real quickly and I'll ask you a specific question

Page 43 1 about one of these pages. 2 I'd like you to look at 3 Petitioner's Exhibit 8. I'd like you to look at 4 page 118. 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. Does that look familiar to you? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Could you describe what that form 9 is? 10 Α. That is a copy of our LUST tracking system that I printed off with each claim that I 11 12 do. 13 Ο. And this looks like it has a date of 14 August 4, 2010, is that correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And it looks like on August 4th, 0. 17 2010, what has been printed out shows a \$10,000 18 deductible, is that correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. Would it be fair to believe that as 2.1 of August 4th, 2010, that was the assumption that 22 that a \$10,000 deductible applied and the \$100,000 23 deductible issue had not yet been identified? 24 Α. That was what was in the database at

April 10, 2014

Page 44 1 that time. 2 Okay. Did you, yourself, actually 0. 3 obtain this agency deductibility determination? 4 Α. I don't really remember at that 5 point. 6 Q. Do you know if Brian Bauer gave it 7 to you? 8 He could possibly. I do numerous Α. claims in a day. It's hard to remember one from 9 10 another. 11 When you were given -- when you were Q. 12 given that letter or when -- I guess let me back 13 up. You identified Brian Bauer as having a role 14 in that. 15 Could you maybe explain his role 16 because I'm not -- I assume he gave that to you 17 was his role, but what was his role in bringing 18 out this \$100,000 deductible issue? 19 He looks at the claims at that time. You know, he makes the notation on it if there was 20 something that he noticed as he was looking 2.1 22 through the claims. 23 On that Petitioner's Exhibit 8, 24 could you please look at what is page 115.

	Page 45
1	Does that look familiar to you
2	or the type of form look familiar to you?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. What is that?
5	A. That is a form that I print off from
6	our database that I use to make my notations as
7	far as the claim.
8	Q. And whose handwriting is this on
9	this page or maybe there's more than one person's
10	handwriting?
11	A. It's all mine.
12	Q. It's all yours. Okay. So there's a
13	\$100,000 deductible determination or a deductible
14	written at the bottom of it with a October 28th,
15	2010, printout?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. So somewhere in between August and
18	October this issue has been identified, is that
19	fair?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. Is this database that you're writing
22	on, is this pretty much the same database that is
23	available to the public on the Internet?
24	A. I'm not really sure.

	Page 46
1	Q. It does not look like it's the same
2	form, but it looks like it is pretty much the same
3	information that is that we looked at earlier,
4	but if you're not sure I won't ask you to compare
5	something.
6	How important is this LUST
7	incident tracking database for your work?
8	A. I print one off for every claim that
9	I do. That's where I make my notes and it has the
10	dates that I need to complete the paperwork.
11	Q. And you indicated you did not review
12	these for technical issues, but what are the type
13	of issues that you identify for reimbursement of
14	claims that will result in reductions?
15	A. I check that we have backup
16	documentation, that the backup documentation is
17	what is in the claim as far as the calculations.
18	I do the math on each of the forms and enter the
19	budget information into the database.
20	Q. So what you're going to reimburse
21	has to match what has been approved in the budget?
22	A. Right.

23

24

And you were not involved in

			Page	47
1	Α.	No, I am not.		
2	Q.	Is one of the forms or backups that		
3	is required f	for reimbursement of claims a copy of		
4	the eligibili	ty deductibility determination?		
5	Α.	That should be in each claim.		
6	Q.	But you go ahead anyway and make		
7	sure it check	s with the deductibility		
8	determination	that you received from the fire		
9	marshall, is	that correct?		
10	Α.	In most cases they do match, yes.		
11	Q.	So you are checking to make sure		
12	what OSFM ser	nt you is the same thing the		
13	consultant su	upplied you?		
14	Α.	What is the most current.		
15	Q.	Have you ever had multiple		
16	deductibility	determinations on a reimbursement		
17	claim before	this?		
18	Α.	Yes.		
19	Q.	How frequently has that happened?		
20	Α.	I mean, at least several times.		
21	Q.	And what has happened in those		
22	cases?			
23	Α.	We always go with the higher one.		
24	Q.	Do you know why the previous		

April 10, 2014

Page 48 1 reimbursement claim was approved with a \$10,000 2 deductible? 3 MS. JARVIS: I'm going to object. 4 She has already stated she only worked on the 5 2010. She would have no knowledge of the prior one because she didn't work on it. 6 7 MR. SHAW: I asked her if she knew 8 why. She may not. 9 MS. JARVIS: It is still outside the 10 scope of her knowledge that she's already testified to. 11 12 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Overruled. If you don't know, just say you don't know. 13 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 I have no idea. Α. BY MR. SHAW: 16 17 Q. Okay. So there are no specific 18 procedures in place to make sure that there are 19 not older agency deductibles on each file? 20 Α. I mean, I have no -- I mean, what is 2.1 in the folder, you know, and we look on the 22 database. 23 Q. And, again, you don't remember where 24 you first found this agency deductible?

		Page 49
1	Α.	No.
2	Q.	You don't know if you found it or if
3	Brian Bauer	found it?
4	Α.	I have no idea.
5	Q.	You don't know whether it was in the
6	file or wheth	ner it was in a different file?
7	Α.	I don't like I said, I don't
8	remember. The	nat was, what
9	Q.	I'm just making sure I understand.
10	Α.	I mean, that was over three years
11	ago. I've do	one, you know, quite a few claims over
12	the 15 years	and to remember each one what I did
13	or who found	what I don't really know.
14	Q.	Did you talk to anybody about the
15	\$100,000 ded	actible determination from outside the
16	agency prior	to making the final decision?
17	Α.	No.
18	Q.	You didn't talk to legal division?
19	Α.	I personally didn't, no.
20	Q.	I'm sorry. That's not really
21	I did you	talk to anybody besides Brian Bauer
22	about that de	etermination?
23	Α.	No.
24		MR. SHAW: No further questions.

Page 50 1 MS. JARVIS: I have no questions. 2 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. 3 you. 4 MS. JARVIS: Can we excuse this 5 witness? 6 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yes, we sure 7 Would you like to call your next witness? 8 MR. SHAW: I'd like to call Brian 9 Bauer. WHEREUPON: 10 11 BRIAN BAUER 12 called as a witness herein, having been first duly 13 sworn, deposeth and saith as follows: 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SHAW 16 Could the witness please state your Q. 17 name for the record? 18 Α. Brian Bauer. 19 0. Good morning, Mr. Bauer. Could you 20 give your current job title? 2.1 Environmental Protection Specialist Α. 22 III. 23 Q. How long have you had that 24 responsibility or that job title?

			Page	51
1	Α.	A long time.		
2	Q.	Bigger than a bread box or smaller?		
3	Fifteen year	s, 20 years?		
4	Α.	Maybe like 18 years.		
5	Q.	And has this always been in the LUST		
6	division?			
7	Α.	I've been in the LUST section almost		
8	22 years, ye	S.		
9	Q.	I'm really focusing on the time		
10	period of ar	ound October of 2010, which is the		
11	matter in di	spute.		
12	Α.	Okay.		
13	Q.	At that time, can you give a		
14	description	of what your job entailed?		
15	Α.	I screened the claims that came in,		
16	assigned it	to different reviewers to review the		
17	claims, hand	led problem sites, handled W-9		
18	problems, ha	ndled all kinds of issues. Anything		
19	that came up	with the reimbursement program.		
20	Q.	Are you on the technical side of		
21	this or on t	he reimbursement side or both? If		

this or on the reimbursement side or both? If you'd rather me not characterize what I'm getting at, please feel free. I'm just trying to identify what period these separate roles are in this

22

23

24

Page 52 1 process. 2 I'm confused now. Α. 3 Q. I confused you by explaining. You 4 were here in the room when Ms. Elston testified, 5 is that correct? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Ο. And she is a -- she involves -- as I 8 understand her testimony, she checks claim 9 reimbursements and that's kind of the last part of 10 the process and does not do anything to my 11 understanding on the technical review of the 12 corrective action plans and such. Is that 13 similarly true with you? Are you on the claims 14 side or do you also do work on things like 15 corrective action plans? 16 Since probably 2009 I've migrated Α. 17 more towards the reimbursement portion of it, but 18 have still maintained some technical end of it, 19 but that is dwindling over the years. 20 And are you Ms. Elston's supervisor? Q. 2.1 Α. No. 22 When did you first become involved Q. 23 in the estate of Slightom file to your 24 recollection?

	Page 53
1	A. That I can recall, it was with the
2	claim. It would have been in 2010.
3	Q. The one that is in controversy here
4	today, correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. So you don't recall any involvement
7	in the earlier reimbursement claim for the stage
8	one work?
9	A. I probably would have given it to
10	Mark Books to review.
11	Q. And why would that be?
12	A. Because I was kind of the liaison
13	between the claims and the technical people for
14	that period of time while we were trying to clear
15	a backlog.
16	Q. Was there any particular reason you
17	assigned it to Mark Books?
18	A. Mark Books was with the site
19	remediation program and he didn't have any work to
20	do.
21	Q. So that's as Ms. Elston, I think,
22	was describing. This stuff is going out to as
23	it comes in to whomever was ready for more work?
24	A. He wanted work. We needed claims

	Page 54
1	reviewed.
2	Q. Okay. So you would have assigned it
3	to him, but you're not indicating there was any
4	other special attention you took with that file at
5	that time, is that correct?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. At what point did you become aware
8	of an EPA determination letter of \$100,000?
9	A. At the time that I was doing the
10	screening for the I'll call it the July 14th,
11	2010, claim.
12	Q. Did you identify that before
13	assigning this to Ms. Elston?
14	A. I did.
15	Q. And you drew her attention to that
16	issue when you assigned it?
17	A. I believe so.
18	Q. Did you direct her to apply the
19	higher deductible?
20	A. I did.
21	Q. Where did you locate that
22	deductibility determination?
23	A. In the LUST claims file.
24	Q. Now, is this LUST claims file the

Page 55 1 same file that Ms. Elston would have had normally? 2 Α. Yes. 3 0. So that file would have gone to her 4 and it would have been in there, but, you know --5 you drew her attention to it also? 6 Α. That's correct. 7 Do you have any reason to know why Q. 8 Mark Books did not see that document in the file? 9 I don't believe Mark Books was Α. 10 trained to look at that. 11 And what kind of training are you Q. 12 referring to? 13 Α. On-the-job training. 14 So you're not really talking about a Q. 15 formal training process that everybody needs to 16 have obtained. I think sometimes my understanding 17 new job entrants do have a more formalized 18 training process with the agency, at least these 19 days, is that correct? 20 I don't know. Α. 2.1 I'll pass on that question. Q. My 22 understanding you're just basically saying he was 23 not cognizant of the significance of that EPA

24

determination letter?

	Page 56	ŝ
1	A. That's correct.	
2	Q. Do you know whether or not	
3	Ms. Elston would have had the on-the-job training	
4	to recognize the significance of that letter?	
5	A. I believe she does.	
6	Q. You wouldn't necessarily be sure	
7	whether or not she would find it, though, is that	
8	correct, since you drew her attention to it?	
9	A. It's not the reason I drew her	
10	attention to it, I guess.	
11	Q. What was the reason you drew her	
12	attention to it?	
13	A. Because I saw it.	
14	Q. You were also aware at that time	
15	that previously the agency had approved this work	
16	for the \$10,000 deductible?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. Are you familiar with the EPA's LUST	
19	database website that is available to the public?	
20	A. Somewhat, yes.	
21	Q. Is it pretty similar to the type of	
22	information that the claims reimbursement	
23	claims managers look at?	
24	A. Yeah, I believe it's the same	

Page 57

information.

2.1

- Q. Is that relied upon extensively in the agency, that database?
- A. I mean, it's used. We utilize it all the time to track things.
- Q. Well, is it common for people with experience at the job to look to that database initially to -- as a matter of course in any kind of work they're doing on a LUST site?
- A. I can't speak for everybody else, but I do utilize it in my reviews.
- Q. Do you know why the LUST database does not identify -- and I'm saying the EPA's LUST database does not identify a deductible determination? And if it would help you, I guess what I'm generally referring to is Exhibit 1. Something like that. Although I think there are similar forms of that printout in the record for the time periods.
- A. This is only a partial of the database. I mean, there is multiple different pages here. You only have a couple of pages.
- Q. Do you think it would be on the -- okay. I don't have copies of this. Let me show

```
Page 58
 1
     you what I've brought.
 2
                                If it is from our
                  MS. JARVIS:
 3
     website, I don't have an objection.
 4
                  MR. SHAW: The only difference is
     the date is a little bit later and I think it's
 5
 6
     got all the pages.
 7
                  MS. JARVIS:
                                Okay.
                  MR. SHAW: We're on Exhibit 9.
 8
 9
                        (Document marked as Petitioner's
                         Exhibit No. 9 for
10
11
                         identification.)
12
     BY MR. SHAW:
                   I'm handing you something that is
13
           0.
     marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 9.
14
15
                  Okay.
           Α.
16
                   I mean, what I'm getting at is when
           Q.
17
     I look at this database I see a couple entries
18
     from the early '90s that are referenced maybe
19
     under sort of the correspondence or something?
20
           Α.
                  Yes.
2.1
                  But none of those identify that an
           Q.
22
     eligibility determination has been made by the
23
     agency, is that --
24
           Α.
                  On that page, that would not happen
```

Page 59 1 because that is from the technical database. 2 The technical database. But I don't 0. 3 think it's on any of your databases. If you look 4 at Petitioner's Exhibit 8, at -- I guess at page 5 116, there is no indication of the deductibility 6 determination other than the one that Ms. Elston 7 wrote down in some of her notes there. 8 Α. This is the technical database. 9 Q. Okay. 10 And that data is not on the Α. technical database. 11 12 Q. But there is claims information on 13 the website as well, isn't there? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And there was a \$40,000 claim made Q. 16 by the prior owner to the agency, wasn't there? 17 Α. A \$40,000 claim? 18 Yes. Let me just ask you this Q. 19 question. 20 Are you aware if there was a 2.1 \$40,000 claim made by the prior owner? 22 Α. No. 23 Q. You wouldn't be able to know that by 24 looking at that database, would you?

		Page	60
1	A. No.		
2	Q. Does the agency have any practices		
3	in place for sharing deductibility determinations		
4	with the State Fire Marshall?		
5	A. Not that I'm aware of.		
6	Q. And we just I think heard as a		
7	matter of course the State Fire Marshall sends the	9	
8	agency a copy of its deductibility determinations	•	
9	Are you aware that the agency ever did that to the	9	
10	State Fire Marshall?		
11	A. No, I'm not.		
12	Q. With respect to the \$10,000		
13	eligibility deductibility determination made to		
14	the estate, did you ever contact the Office of the	9	
15	State Fire Marshall prior to the decision made		
16	regarding the deductible?		
17	A. I did.		
18	Q. Who did you contact?		
19	A. Deanne Lock.		
20	Q. What is Dan Lock's (sic)		
21	responsibility at the State Fire Marshall?		
22	A. She I believe she reviews and		
23	approves the eligibility determinations for the		
24	fire marshall.		

	Page 61
1	Q. Did you know that she had been
2	involved in this one?
3	A. I'm not sure if she did.
4	Q. I guess I assume there are multiple
5	people over there making these determinations. Is
6	she the only one that is making them?
7	A. Presently she is the only one that's
8	making them.
9	Q. My assumption was wrong. What was
10	the purpose of contacting her?
11	A. I contacted Deanne to question why
12	they issued the \$10,000 deductible.
13	Q. And what response did you receive?
14	A. That after some conversations, that
15	they had made a mistake.
16	Q. When you say some conversations, did
17	these occur over a period of days?
18	A. It could have been. It's been a
19	while.
20	Q. Did you ask them to look through the
21	file and get back to you, that kind of thing?
22	A. It's been a while.
23	Q. Did you ask them to change their
24	decision?

Page 62 1 Α. I don't know if I asked for that. 2 Q. When you say I asked that, do you 3 know if someone else asked for that? I know Deanne discussed it with me 4 Α. 5 about changing her decisions, that they made a 6 mistake. 7 Q. Was she willing to change her 8 decision? 9 She indicated to me that she wanted Α. 10 to. 11 Did she ask for permission from Q. 12 someone above her to change it? 13 Α. I think that's the case, yes. 14 Q. To your knowledge, the decision was 15 never changed, is that correct? That's correct. 16 Α. 17 Did you ask for documents from the Q. 18 State Fire Marshall? I don't recall. 19 Α. 20 There appears to be some documents Q. 2.1 in the agency's record that are materials about 22 the application that Mr. Thorpe prepared to get 23 the eligibility determination and I don't 24 believe -- it looks to me like they were

	Page 63
1	requested. Is that something you may have
2	requested?
3	A. The application?
4	Q. The application for an eligibility
5	determination to the Office of the State Fire
6	Marshall.
7	A. The application comes when they
8	make a decision, she includes a copy of the
9	application and the permits. It's on all of them.
10	Q. Okay. So my assumption would be
11	incorrect on that one?
12	A. It would be.
13	Q. I'll admit to one time being
14	incorrect in this case.
15	Are you familiar with the
16	changes made to the deductibility determination
17	several years ago to allow for a \$5,000
18	deductible?
19	A. Somewhat.
20	Q. Isn't it true that the law now
21	allows for a \$5,000 deductible in certain
22	circumstances?
23	MS. JARVIS: I'll object to that
24	question because it was leading. I've given you a

	Page 64
1	lot of leeway on hearsay.
2	MR. SHAW: I can lead the hostile
3	witness. He is an opposing witness.
4	MS. JARVIS: But you haven't
5	declared him as a hostile witness and he has been
6	very calm answering all your questions.
7	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would you
8	like to just rephrase the question? It might be
9	faster.
10	BY MR. SHAW:
11	Q. Are you familiar with the \$5,000
12	deductible rules generally that were passed the
13	last few years?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Under these new \$5,000 deductible
16	issues, isn't it possible for a site to have more
17	than one deductible determination?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And, in that case, which deductible
20	determination has the agency been applying?
21	A. The higher deductible.
22	Q. Are you aware of any evidence that
23	the EPA determination letter of \$100,000 was ever
24	received by Gerald Slightom?

	Page 65
1	A. Evidence that it was received by
2	them?
3	Q. Yes.
4	A. I don't know.
5	Q. I know there is a letter, but other
6	than the letter, is there any green card? Are
7	there any practices to confirm receipt?
8	A. The deductibility letter was issued
9	in 1991. I don't know the practices that were in
10	place at that time.
11	Q. In 1991, it was under a prior law,
12	correct? If I look at the law about how
13	determinations are made today and how they are
14	issued and how they are received, that is not
15	going to tell me what the law was in 1991, is that
16	correct?
17	A. I guess, yes, you're correct.
18	Q. In situations when an owner/operator
19	dies and maybe they've initiated the process of
20	cleanup, but not completed it, is the estate
21	required to get its own election to proceed at
22	that point? Is that a requirement of the law?
23	A. I don't know if it's a requirement
24	of the law or not.

	Page 66
1	Q. Yeah, that's probably a poor
2	question. I want to know about agency practice.
3	I should probably refrain from asking legal
4	questions. Usually a lot of times it's just the
5	meeting of all these different factors that leads
6	to this is the only way to do this.
7	Is it agency practice to expect
8	that when a decedent dies that the estate get its
9	own paperwork in place in order to move forward in
10	terms of having people sign things and for checks
11	to be issued and have identification numbers that
12	have some meaning?
13	A. I guess there are certain situations
14	that people do do that and I've seen situations
15	where they have not done that.
16	Q. To your knowledge, has the agency
17	ever rejected a reimbursement claim or a budget
18	and plan on the basis that the applicant needs to
19	get an election to proceed because they're an
20	estate?
21	A. Repeat that, please.
22	Q. It was a poorly worded question. I
23	apologize.

In a situation in which -- to

24

April 10, 2014

Page 67 1 your knowledge, has the agency ever rejected a 2 submittal by an estate because it needs to get an 3 election to proceed first? 4 Α. I'm sure we have. 5 Do you know what kind of 0. 6 circumstances that would come into play? 7 Α. Kind of. We have issues with the 8 state -- with the comptroller getting claims paid. 9 They have to produce a W-9. If the comptroller 10 doesn't accept the W-9 for whatever reason, sometimes they do elections of estates and go 11 12 under a FEIN number to get paid. 13 Q. Is the problem the comptroller is 14 unwilling or won't accept a Social Security Number 15 of a dead person, is that the problem? 16 I do not know. Α. 17 That's where it sort of unfolds. Q. 18 The money has to come from the comptroller. 19 comptroller needs a form. The form has its own 20 issues and the estate may need to get one --2.1 Α. Yes. 22 -- separately from the decedent? Q. 23 Yeah, and I don't know the legal if Α. 24 you can -- how long you can use the Social

			Page	68
1	Security Numbe	er.		
2	Q. I	Oo you know whether or not the		
3	reimbursement	claim would be denied if the name on		
4	the eligibilit	cy and deductibility determination		
5	did not match the W-9?			
6	A. W	We pay the person that is deemed		
7	eligible.			
8	Q. S	So you're saying the eligibility		
9	deductibility	determination doesn't have to go to		
10	the same perso	on the W-9 identifies?		
11	Α. Ι	I didn't say that. I didn't mean		
12	to.			
13	Q. I	Let me ask the question. When you		
14	submit a reimb	oursement claim, you're required to		
15	submit the eli	gibility deductibility		
16	determination,	is that correct?		
17	Α. Υ	es.		
18	Q. Y	You're required to submit the W-9		
19	with that requ	mest as well, is that correct?		
20	А. Т	That's correct.		
21	Q. I	oo the names on those two documents		
22	need to match?			
23	А. Т	To some extent, yes.		
24	Q. A	And to what extent is that?		

April 10, 2014

Page 69 1 Α. Sometimes we get -- they issued the 2 eligibility determination a long time ago. 3 issued it to the gas station. Sometimes they also 4 issue an eligibility determination to a 5 disregarded entity and the comptroller doesn't --6 won't accept a FEIN number on a disregarded 7 entities company's name. So it goes back to a 8 Social Security Number and a person's name. 9 Q. Okay. The name may be different, 10 but the entity is usually the same, correct? It should be. 11 Α. 12 Q. Well, in this specific instance 13 here, we have a decedent who has a Social Security 14 Number and we have an estate that once it's open 15 it gets its own taxpayer ID number. Are those --16 not talking the law, but just as agency practice, 17 do -- is that an issue? Is that a problem? 18 Does the estate have to at some 19 point get back in the process itself and not 20 bootstrap on to the decedent? 2.1 Α. I'd say yes. 22 We'll leave it there. Q. 23 MR. SHAW: I don't have any further 24 questions.

	Page 70
1	MS. JARVIS: Can we go off the
2	record for just a minute?
3	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Sure.
4	(Whereupon, a discussion was had
5	off the record.)
6	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: We'll go back
7	on the record now. Ms. Jarvis, would you like to
8	sum up what was just discussed off the record?
9	MS. JARVIS: Sure. We discussed off
10	the record the \$40,000 claim by Mr. Slightom prior
11	to his death and we just want to clarify and see
12	if there was any documentation as to that fact,
13	which I'll now ask Mr. Bauer about the papers.
14	CROSS EXAMINATION
15	BY MS. JARVIS
16	Q. I'm going to show you page one of
17	the record and what is that document entitled?
18	A. Application for Reimbursement.
19	Q. And in the 1990's, what was that
20	form used for?
21	A. Determining eligibility.
22	Q. Okay. And if you can turn to I
23	believe it is I thought it was page eight. It
24	should be on this page. There it is on page 12

	Page 71	
1	and look at item 10(c). What was that amount of	
2	money used for?	
3	A. That was just an estimate of	
4	corrective action costs that were incurred after	
5	July 28th, 1989.	
6	Q. So would any money be paid to the	
7	applicant on such an application?	
8	A. No.	
9	Q. So that was just an estimate, it	
10	wasn't a request for actual monies?	
11	A. That's correct.	
12	Q. Okay.	
13	MS. JARVIS: I have no further	
14	questions.	
15	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Is this an	
16	exhibit or just part of the record?	
17	MS. JARVIS: It's part of the	
18	record.	
19	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: What page of	
20	the record?	
21	MS. JARVIS: Page one to page 12 and	
22	it was page 12 of the record.	
23	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.	
24	MS. JARVIS: 10(c).	

```
Page 72
1
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right.
2
     Just making that clarification.
3
                  MS. JARVIS: I have no other
4
     questions.
5
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                        Mr. Shaw, do
6
     you have anything further for this witness?
7
                  MR. SHAW:
                             Nope.
8
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
                                                 Thank
9
          Would you like to offer these exhibits or --
10
     I know they're all part of the record, I take it?
11
                  MR. SHAW: No.
12
                  MS. JARVIS: No.
13
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: They're not?
14
                  MR. SHAW: Yeah, I'm going to offer
15
     the exhibits into evidence. Are you going to
16
     object?
17
                  MS. JARVIS: We're not going to
18
     object to them.
19
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Okay.
                                                 So no
20
     objection to the admission of any of these
2.1
     exhibits. Then they are all admitted, 1
22
     through 9.
23
                  MR. SHAW: Yes.
24
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Okay.
```

```
Page 73
1
     Ms. Jarvis, do you have anything further to
2
     present on behalf of the agency?
3
                  MS. JARVIS: We will be presenting
4
                 If we can just have a short break.
     testimony.
5
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Absolutely.
     Let's take five.
6
7
                       (Whereupon, a break was taken
8
                        after which the following
9
                        proceedings were had.)
10
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         We can go
11
     ahead and go back on the record. Ms. Jarvis, we
12
     were picking up with the agency's case.
13
                  MS. JARVIS:
                               Right. And we call
14
     Hernando Albarracin.
15
     WHEREUPON:
                     HERNANDO ALBARRACIN
16
17
     called as a witness herein, having been first duly
18
     sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
19
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
                             EXAMINATION
20
            DIRECT
2.1
                       BY MS. JARVIS
22
                  Okay. Please state your name for
           Q.
23
     the record.
                  Hernando Albarracin.
24
           Α.
```

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 04/22/2014 April 10, 2014

	Page 74
1	Q. And what is your title at the
2	agency?
3	A. I'm a section manager.
4	Q. For which section?
5	A. The Leaking Underground Tank
6	Section.
7	Q. And how long have you been a
8	manager?
9	A. Since April 1st, 2008.
10	Q. And were you employed by the agency
11	before that?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And for how many years?
14	A. Nineteen.
15	Q. Nineteen. Has all of your
16	experience been with the Leaking Underground
17	Storage Tank Program?
18	A. No.
19	Q. What did you do prior to coming over
20	to the leaking underground storage tank?
21	A. I worked in the permit section in
22	the Bureau of Land. I held that job from 1989 to
23	1996 and then in 1996 I took a position as a unit
24	manager in the leaking underground storage tank

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 04/22/2014 April 10, 2014

Page 75

section until 2008 when I took the position of section manager.

- Q. Okay. Back when the first claim for this site was reviewed, what were the procedures in place in LUST at that time?
- A. We're speaking of the claim where the \$10,000 deductible was supplied?
 - Q. We're speaking of the Books --
 - A. Mark Books?

2.1

- O. Mark Books.
- A. At that time in June 2008, there was a push to clear the backlog of claims in our section. We had a very, very large backlog so we were under pressure to get these claims reviewed quickly and eventually paid. So a process was put in place to do this. We recruited more people basically internally. So at that time there was a claims unit, approximately ten people I would say, and we added approximately another 15 people. I looked at a list before I came here today. There was 26 people who reviewed claims in June 2008.
- Q. And where did those extra 15 people come from?
 - A. They came mostly from our section,

April 10, 2014

Page 76 1 technical people, people who do technical reviews, 2 and there was at least one, Mark Books, who came 3 from a different section. 4 0. Okay. And what were these people 5 tasked to do? 6 Α. They were asked to review these 7 So there was approximately 700 claims 8 that we processed and for that process we setup a 9 procedure and the main theme was to streamline the review of these claims. So claims that were over 10 120 days old are deadlined, regulatory deadline. 11 Those claims were reviewed a lot more quickly than 12 13 the claims that were not -- that were under 120 days old. 14 15 So the process was streamlined 16 meaning the reviews were done more quickly. 17 Things were not checked as closely. 18 So at that time that Mr. Books 0. 19 reviewed the first one, he was just under orders 20 to look at them and get them paid? 2.1 Α. Basically, yes. He looked at a few 22

things. Compare to what was approved in the budget. There were certain things that definitely had to be checked. We were not -- for example, we

23

24

April 10, 2014

Page 77

would not pay more than what was approved in the budget. So that was one thing to check, but it was basically looking at what was in front of him and I believe, you know, he didn't have to pull the file.

- Q. Okay. So the file that Ms. Elston talked about pulling for her review, he would not have been required to do that?
 - A. Right.

2.1

- Q. Then when we get to the claim that was reviewed by Ms. Elston and Brian Bauer, were those procedures then changed?
- A. Yes. After I believe in March of 2009, there was a change internally where we became -- we, meaning the technical side of the program, became more involved in the review of these claims. So that's when Brian Bauer became involved, sort of a liaison between the claims unit and the technical side of things. So things were checked more closely.

In the past, the claims unit if they had any questions on the technical side of things they would come to us and ask us questions. For example, we have more than one deductibility

April 10, 2014

Page 78

determination, which deductible would apply. We would answer those questions. So by having the technical side of things look at these claims, it would make the review hopefully run more smoothly and any -- it's not really a separate process.

The fiscal side of things goes hand in hand with the technical side of things because we review budgets, we approve budgets and then when the claim comes in we have to compare it to the budget.

2.1

That happened sometime after March of 2009, I believe, and eventually the LUST claims unit was folded and Cathy Elston is the only one left from that original group and the rest of the people who review claims are from the technical part of the program.

- Q. Okay. The LUST incident tracking database, with the information on there, can you explain what type of databases that LUST uses?
- A. The database that we use is an Access database, Microsoft Access database. And it has all kinds of different information in there and most of that information is shown online. I will say if you download the database you will get

April 10, 2014

Page 79

most of the information that we see. One part you don't get if you download it is the enforcement information. We claim confidentiality on that one. But most of the information if you download it, it is available. What you see on the screen if you go online, it is a lot of what we see internally.

- Q. But it's not everything?
- A. It is not everything.
- Q. Okay. When -- do you have sites in the LUST program where the ownership of the site changes hands?
 - A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And when the ownership of the site changes hands, does there need to be a new deductible or does the deductible go along with the former party?
- A. The deductible goes with the site. So regardless of who owns the site, regardless of how many times the site is sold or -- it is not necessarily about selling the site, but who takes on the liability of the cleanup. The deductible always goes with the site.
 - Q. So when a new owner comes on to the

Page 80

property, they take all liability for that site?

- A. If they -- yes, if they elect to proceed, that's the process that we have in place now. Before I believe 2006 we didn't have this process. But if the person or the party or the company elects to proceed as owner, as we call it, then they assume all liability for the cleanup.
- Q. So they take the site as they found it?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. So whatever had been done on the site that forwards through to the next party, they don't have to start over?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Finishing up, is there anything else that you want to discuss regarding this claim?
- A. I will say that the process of the election to proceed as owner is not required by the agency. You know, if anybody wants to take over a cleanup, that is a voluntary action and that is something I point out to people on the phone. We have a fact sheet online. There is a form to do this process, but it is totally voluntary. It is in the -- in the rules available

April 10, 2014

Page 81 1 for people to takeover a cleanup, but it is 2 voluntary. 3 Now, if somebody wants to 4 takeover a cleanup and move forward and 5 potentially access the fund to get this done and 6 get reimbursed, then you do have to elect to 7 proceed as owner. That's something the fire 8 marshall will require in order to process the 9 eligibility and deductibility form application 10 because now we have a new party and they need -if there is already a deductible for this 11 particular site, then the new party needs to get 12 13 online, meaning they need to submit an application 14 to the fire marshall, and get their name changed, 15 the previous owner changed to the current owner in 16 order to make this process work because this new 17 party will be the one signing forms, applying for 18 reimbursement, so on and so forth. 19 And that also helps with the 20 issue that was brought up earlier about the W-9 2.1 If we have an old eligibility determination form. 22 that is ABC Gas Station, let's say it was given to 23 an entity, and now comes a different entity and 24 they submit claims for reimbursement to us and

April 10, 2014

Page 82

they submit their own W-9, when it goes to the comptroller, it will probably come back -- if the previous person applied for reimbursement, it is going to come back probably because they're going to say "This is not the party that applied for reimbursement before."

2.1

And something that we do check is that the W-9 -- the person that owned the entity and the W-9 matches the eligibility. Now, if that match cannot be easily made, if we're told "This is the same company really or the same entity," well, we have the option of asking for some documentation that will make this link. So maybe the party doesn't have to go and change the eligibility determination with the fire marshall, but we can accomplish this with some documentation that ties the two together. Some kind of a link.

For us to be sure -- we do this to be sure that we're paying the correct party.

We don't want to issue -- or we don't want the comptroller to issue a check to a different party.

Let's say the money gets used or the check gets cashed and then the other party that is doing -- the current owner comes in and says "I want to get

April 10, 2014

Page 83 1 paid for this amount of work." We're not going to 2 pay for the same work twice. 3 So we're trying to make sure 4 that we pay the correct party in this process, 5 with the W-9. And I will add that, you know, when we reviewed those 700 claims, there was another 6 7 round after that, another 400 claims. So that was 8 the time period where things were -- as a program, 9 we were falling behind. We were not making 10 headway in the claims review process and that's where the whole restructure took place and that's 11 12 why the technical people were brought in to manage 13 this process as well. 14 So it's fair to say that mistakes 15 could have been made during that time when you 16 were trying to get all those claims approved? 17 Α. It is fair to say that, yes. 18 MS. JARVIS: Okay. I have no 19 further questions. 20 CROSS EXAMINATION 2.1 BY MR. SHAW 22 Q. I just have a few. It sounds to me 23 you're describing a situation in which priorities 24 had to be made within the agency to get rid of

April 10, 2014

Page 84 1 this backlog --2 Α. Correct. 3 Q. -- and things had to move? 4 isn't it also true at that time that the 5 eligibility deductibility determinations had to be 6 reviewed by the technical people? 7 Α. Had to be reviewed by the technical 8 people? 9 Aren't the technical Q. Yes. 10 submittals required to have attached eligibility 11 deductibility determinations? Every budget that is submitted 12 Α. 13 to us must contain this application from the fire marshall and we use it to compare to what we 14 15 received from the fire marshall before that. 16 Every claim has to have that eligibility 17 determination also, again, to compare to what we have from the fire marshall. 18 19 So it's true then that the 20 eligibility deductibility determination with a 2.1 \$10,000 deductible was submitted multiple times to 22 the agency and no problem was identified, no 23 objection was made and it was not simply this one 24 person didn't do his job or -- that's probably

Page 85

1 unfair.

2

3

4

5

You're saying he was told to, you know, prioritize and move it through. This went through there several times through several different reviewers, isn't that true?

I don't know that that is

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

necessarily true. What I will say is the claim that we have at issue was assigned to Mark Books. He looked -- he only worked with what was in front of him, the application that was in front of him. That application did contain the eligibility with the \$10,000 deductible. So he did not look any further. That was done in an effort to expedite and review the 700 claims that we had on hand.

So how many people -- subsequent to that, I mean I would imagine that eligibility would have been submitted with all the budgets that have been submitted so far. In that process, that review of those budgets and those plans is done by a separate staff person.

Q. And if I understand correctly the priority you're making here is fairly consistent with what I see in the Environmental Protection Act, which is when the agency gets applications in

Page 86

the LUST program, if the agency does not make a decision within a certain amount of time, and some instances that means the application is deemed denied, for applications for payment it means that it is deemed approved, is that correct?

A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. So, in a sense, the legislative priority here is that if the work is correct, the balance of the equity should be to pay for that work even if you didn't get a chance to review it that closely, is that fair?
- A. That's fair to say. We streamlined the process. We looked at -- we did look at the Environmental Protection Act to make sure that we were within the law to do this.
- Q. And then you say mistakes were made and you get calls from people wanting to know about electing to proceed as owners. Do you tell the people to call you, that mistakes would be made and this may be the biggest mistake you've made by electing to proceed as an owner --
 - A. No.
- Q. -- because we're not accountable for our mistakes because we've got limited resources?

April 10, 2014

Page 87

Do you tell them about the mistakes?

2.1

A. No, I do not. When I say that mistakes are made, I mean, we are reviewing thousands of these claims. So, intuitively, I will say "Yeah, mistakes can be made" because these are reviewed by humans and after all we're a bureaucracy, but I don't tell that to people on the phone. I don't tell them this is the biggest mistake because that would almost be giving legal advice.

I do say it would be helpful to talk to an attorney, look at our fact sheet, check with the fire marshall before you sign this form to elect to proceed because they may tell you what the deductible might be if there isn't one already and if there is one already, they will tell you what the deductible will be. That kind of thing. But I don't encourage or discourage. I just basically present the facts submitted and point people to our documentation online.

Q. Isn't it true that in the form that the agency uses to approve elections to proceed that the agency advises the recipient to check with the State Fire Marshall as you've just

April 10, 2014

Page 88

suggested?

2.1

- A. That's correct.
- Q. So it's not at all abnormal for someone who is electing to proceed to take over a cleanup to then go get another eligibility deductibility determination?
- A. Well, what that -- what that statement means in the letter is that you do need to go check with the fire marshall to see. If there isn't a deductible already and nobody has ever applied, if the previous owner never applied for a deductible and therefore never submitted a claim, then they need to submit this application to the fire marshall to get a deductible issued and part of that process will include the fire marshall asking for an acceptance letter to elect to proceed as owner.

More than likely, the fire marshall has a different party as owner in their records and if there is one already, meaning one deductible has already been issued to the previous owner, then this process -- I'm going to be sort of speaking for the fire marshall, the process is to get that name changed to the new party, the new

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 04/22/2014 April 10, 2014

Page 89

entity, the new person in order for the process to go smoothly with us, as I said earlier, so that a new party, you know, can sign forms, submit the claim for reimbursement, match things and say they match and let's approve this claim.

2.1

- Q. If I'm understanding you correctly, you're saying the bulk of all the circumstances of taking over a cleanup, the estate needed to get a new election or needed to get an eligibility determination and sign documents as the estate and work as the estate, but you're arguing that that doesn't remove them from the sins of the past or whatever happened before? Am I understanding you correctly or maybe I'm not? Let me break this --
- A. When a new -- in this case, when the estate took over as owner and that was sometime -- I actually don't remember what year that was.

 Anyway, they take responsibility from that point forward. From that point forward.

So, you know -- so as owner they may be eligible for reimbursement, people -- that's what we mostly talk about, reimbursement and money and that kind of thing. But they also would be eligible for a no further remediation

```
Page 90
1
     letter.
2
                       So let's say somebody wants to
3
     take over a cleanup. They're not worried about
     reimbursement let's say. They don't care.
4
5
     maybe they're not eligible, the tanks are not
6
     eligible let's say, but they want the no further
7
     remediation letter because if they come to our
8
     program, they get the letter for free. If they go
     to the voluntary cleanup program, it will cost
9
10
     them money.
11
                       So that election is -- we talk a
12
     lot about reimbursement, but it is also being
13
     eligible to receive the no further remediation
14
     letter. So they take over from that point
15
     forward.
16
                  MR. SHAW: No further questions.
17
                               I just have a couple
                  MS. JARVIS:
18
     clarifying questions.
19
          REDIRECT
                               EXAMINATION
                      BY MS. JARVIS
20
2.1
                  When we issue letters after a
          Q.
22
     technical person looks at a plan and a budget, do
23
     we ever review or look at the deductible or make
24
     mention of the deductible?
```

	Page 91
1	A. No, we do not.
2	Q. And say that we have a site that
3	changes ownership mid-remediation, so the 45-day
4	letter, the 20-day letter, they've all been
5	submitted, maybe a site investigation has been
6	done, do we allow the new owner to go back and
7	redo?
8	A. No, we don't.
9	Q. So they start at that moment in
10	time, but the stuff that had been done before
11	they're stuck with whatever had been done before?
12	A. That's correct. If we have already
13	approved the previous work, there is no need to go
14	back.
15	Q. Okay.
16	MS. JARVIS: No further questions.
17	MR. SHAW: I just have one question.
18	RECROSS EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. SHAW
20	Q. When you say I understand what
21	you're saying what has happened has happened and
22	you can't change that, but, for instance, some of
2.3	the things that do change the deductible rules

change and the deductible changed under the

24

Page 92

program in the last few years to allow for \$5,000 deductibles.

2.0

2.1

Is it the agency's position that if a site qualifies for a \$5,000 deductible under the terms of the statute, it does not get a \$5,000 deductible if there is a previous deductible determination made that was higher?

A. That's correct. If the site already has a deductible for the same incident, we're talking about the same occurrence, the same incident, then the higher deductible applies and that is clear in the law. There is no wiggle room. I mean, if somebody calls me about this and say "We just got hit with a higher deductible, is there anything you can do about it?" There is nothing we can do about it. The law is very clear. It is a very black and white decision and we do talk about these things.

We meet weekly in our section and we talk about all kinds of different things; technical things, fiscal things, and I would guess that we talk about this. Brian checks with us in these meetings. I have this situation. You know, I think -- you know, he will give his opinion and

```
Page 93
1
     we will talk about it, but it is fairly, fairly,
2
     fairly straightforward unless there is something
3
     unusual about it, but that is usually not the
4
     case.
5
                       The law is very clear and we
6
     really have no choice, but to apply the higher
7
     deductible, again, for the same occurrence because
8
     a site may have more than one release. Each
9
     release may have a different deductible. Usually
10
     not, but it is possible. So if there are multiple
     deductibles we will issue one, we will apply only
11
     one and it is the higher one or the highest one if
12
13
     there are more than two.
14
                  MR. SHAW: I have no further
15
     questions.
16
                               I have nothing further.
                  MS. JARVIS:
17
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Thank you.
18
     Ms. Jarvis, do you have anything further you would
19
     like to present?
20
                               No, we rest.
                  MS. JARVIS:
2.1
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
22
     discuss a few housekeeping items on the record.
23
     The transcript is due by April 22nd and will be
24
     posted on the Board's website. The public comment
```

	Page 94
1	deadline is April 24th. Any public comment must
2	be filed in accordance with Section 101.628 of the
3	Board's procedural rules. The petitioner's brief
4	is due by May 6th and the respondent's brief is
5	due by May 27th and petitioner's reply brief is
6	due by June 3rd.
7	Mr. Shaw, would you like to make
8	any closing statement?
9	MR. SHAW: No, we'll reserve our
10	statement for the brief.
11	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms. Jarvis?
12	MS. JARVIS: We will do likewise,
13	reserve our statement for the brief.
14	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: In that case,
15	we will conclude the proceedings. We stand
16	adjourned and I thank everyone for their
17	participation.
18	MR. SHAW: Thank you.
19	MS. JARVIS: Thanks.
20	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.
21	
22	
23	
24	

```
Page 95
1
     STATE OF ILLINOIS
2
                         ) SS.
3
     COUNTY OF COOK
                         )
4
5
           I, Steven Brickey, Certified Shorthand
     Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported in
6
7
     shorthand the proceedings had at the trial
8
     aforesaid, and that the foregoing is a true,
9
     complete and correct transcript of the proceedings
     of said trial as appears from my stenographic
10
11
     notes so taken and transcribed under my personal
12
     direction.
13
          Witness my official signature in and for
     Cook County, Illinois, on this day of
14
15
      , A.D., 2014.
16
17
18
19
20
                          STEVEN BRICKEY, CSR
21
                          8 West Monroe Street
                          Suite 2007
22
                          Chicago, Illinois 60603
                          Phone: (312) 419-9292
                          CSR No. 084-004675
23
24
```

April 10, 2014

A					Page 96
Stoppoint Stop		accounting 37:2	87-22-23	71.7	16:10 28:24
88:12 85:24 86:14 action 38:19 62:21 73:12 27:20 62:22 48:1 56:16 80:20 actions 16:18 activities 24:1 85:12 24:13 25:14 70:18 71:7 arguing 89:11 aside 37:13 asked 24:19 92:2 85:10,100 007:20 26:8,14 27:5 24:13 25:14 71:10 24:11,16,24 43:22 44:18 45:13 49:15 54:8 64:23 815,400 10:4 11:18 13:14 29:4,24 24:22 adjourned 94:16 29:4,24 11:8 13:14 29:4,24 24:22 admitted 72:21 admitson 72:20 admit 63:13 admitted 72:21 admited 72:21 advice 87:10 advice 87:					
29:10 43:17,22 48:1 56:16 60:12 61:12 75:7 84:21 85:12 810,000 7:20 26:8,14 27:5 30:1,9 33:24 41:11,16,24 43:22 244:18 45:13 49:15 54:8 64:23 815,000 10:4 11:8 13:14 17:2 28:10,16 29:4,24 81:1,15 92:1 29:4,5 59:17,21 70:10 85,000 63:17,21 64:11,15 92:1 29:24,5 8.m 1:14 5:5 ADS 11,2 164:11,15 92:1 29:24,5 8.m 1:14 5:5 ADS 11,2 64:11,15 92:1 3bio.00 63:17,21 64:11,15 92:1 3bic.5 3bi				1 1	
A8:1 56:16				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
60:12 61:12 75:7 84:21 80:20 actions 16:18 activities 24:1 ago 38:1 42:4 49:11 63:17 81:9,13 84:13 asked 24:19 ask? 62:1,2,3 arctual 22:2 24:13 25:14 71:10 ADAMI 2:2 24:13 25:14 43:22 44:18 45:13 49:15 54:8 64:23 315,6000 10:4 17:2 28:10,16 29:4,24 18:19:13 14:14 17:2 28:10,16 29:4,24 16:10 admisistrative 16:10 admission 72:20 admit 63:13 ash m 1:14 5:5 59:17,21 70:10 8ADOME 49:16 4:11,15 92:1 92:4,5 ADS 81:22 able 59:23 ab					
75:7 84:21 85:12 \$200,000 7:20 26:8,14 27:5 30:1,9 33:24 41:11,16,24 43:22 44:18 43:22 44:18 45:13 a9:15 54:8 64:23 \$15,000 10:4 11:8 13:14 11:2 28:10,16 29:4,24 \$4000 59:15 59:17,21 70:10 \$59,17,21 70:10 \$59,17,21 70:10 \$59,17,21 70:10 \$59,17,21 70:10 \$59,17,21 70:10 \$4000 59:15 amili4 5:5 AD B S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S					0 0
85:12 activities 24:1 activities 24:1 actual 22:2 48:7 62:1,2,3 76:6 48:7 62:1,2,3 76:6 actual 22:2 48:10,000 7:20 26:8,14 27:5 76:6 asking 19:8 76:6 asking 19:8 76:6 asking 19:8 23:19 40:24 24:12 40:22 40:22 40:22 40:22 40:22 40:22 40:22 40:22 40:22 40:22 40:31 40:21 41:8 41:21 51:16 41:21 51:16 41:21 51:16 41:21 51:16 53:17 54:2,16 41:21 51:16 41:21 51:16 41:21 51:16 41:21 41:21 51:16 41:21 41:21 51:16 41:21 41:21 51:16 41:21 41:21 41:21 41:21			_		
Sindo,000 7:20 26:8,14 27:5 30:1,9 33:24 71:10 16:24 ahead 5:2 47:6 32:11 86:4 66:3 82:12 41:11,16,24 41:11,16,24 43:22 44:18 45:13 49:15 54:8 64:23 34bourned 94:16 administrative 17:2 28:10,16 29:4,24 34bourned 94:16 administrative 16:10 admitsion 72:20 admit 63:13 admited 72:21 advice 87:10 adwises 87:23 aforesaid 95:8 am 1:14 5:5 A.D 95:15 am 1:14 5:5 accept 67:10,14 69:6 32:21 25:21 2access 78:21,21 59:16 60:28,9 accountable 83:24 88:26 32:10 26:34 86:4 41:21 51:16 applies 7:8 8:4 41:21 51:16 32:19 34:19 34:19 36:49				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
26:8,14 27:5 24:13 25:14 16:24 applications asking 19:8 30:1,9 33:24 41:11,16,24 ADAMI 2:2 47:10 48:13 25:14 73:11 36:3 82:12 23:19 40:24 46:3 82:12 23:19 40:24 46:3 82:12 46:3 82:12 46:3 82:12 46:3 82:12 46:3 82:12 46:3 82:12 46:3 82:12 46:3 82:12 46:3 82:12 46:3 82:12 46:3 82:12 46:3 82:12 46:3 82:12 46:3 82:12 46:3 82:12 46:3 82:12 46:13 82:12 46:14 4:16:24 46:3 82:12 46:14 4:16:24 46:3 82:12 46:14 4:16:24 47:22 8:10,16 46:14 1:16:24 46:3 82:12 48:11 4:16:24 49:12 4:12 46:14 1:16:24 46:3 82:12 48:11 4:12 46:13 4:12 46:14 1:16:24 48:16 4:12 48:16 48:16 48:16 48:16 48:16 48:16 48:16 48:16 48:16 48:16 48:16 48:17 48:16 48:19 49:11 49:11 49:11 49:11 49:11 49:11 49:11 49:11 49:14 49:14 49:15 49:12 49:14 49:14					
30:1,9 33:24 41:11,16,24 43:22 44:18 45:13 49:15 54:8 64:23 815,000 10:4 11:8 13:14 17:2 28:10,16 29:4,24 \$40,000 59:15 59:17,21 70:10 85,000 63:17,21 64:11,15 92:1 92:4,5 ADMI 2:2 administrative adlows 63:17 91:6 administrative administrative adlows 63:17 91:6 administrative adlows 63:17 91:6 administrative 92:1 16:10 admixed 5::11 22:23 25:19 admix 63:13 admixed 79:1 applied 7:21 asserted 33:2 asserting 32:9 asserted 33:2 asserting 32:9 asserting 32:9 assigned 40:18 applies 7:8 8:4 appl					
ADAMI 2:2 Add 83:5 air 26:22 Albarracin 3:16 54:8 64:23 S15,000 10:4 17:2 28:10,16 29:4,24 S40,000 59:15 59:17,21 70:10 S5,000 63:17,21 64:11,15 92:1 92:4,5 A.D. 95:15 a.m 1:14 5:5 ABC 81:22 able 59:23 abnorwal 88:3 accept 67:10,14 69:6 accept 67:10,14 69:6 accept 69:2 88:16 account 35:24 age: 24:22 Albarracin 3:16 62:23 appears 62:20 accountable S2:40 88:16 account 35:24 age: 24:22 Albarracin 3:16 added 75:19 additional 17:24 24:22 Albarracin 3:16 added 75:19 additional 17:24 24:22 Albarracin 3:16 alow 63:17 91:6 additional 17:24 24:22 Albarracin 3:16 alow 63:17 91:6 additional 17:24 24:22 Albarracin 3:16 alow 63:17 91:6 additional 17:24 24:22 Albarracin 3:16 additional 17:24 24:22 Albarracin 3:16 added 75:19 additional 17:24 24:22 Albarracin 3:16 additional 17:24 24:22 Albarracin 3:16 alow 63:17 91:6 applies 7:8 8:4 41:21 51:16 53:17 54:2,16 apply 28:16 asserted 33:2 asserting 32:9 asserting 32:9 assigned 40:18 applies 7:8 8:4 41:21 51:16 53:17 54:2,16 apply 28:16 apply 28:19 apply 28:16 a					
43:22 44:18 45:13 49:15 54:8 64:23 815,000 10:4 11:8 13:14 17:2 28:10,16 29:4,24 840,000 59:15 59:17,21 70:10 855,000 63:17,21 64:11,15 92:1 92:4,5 A.D 95:15 a.m 1:14 5:5 a.m 1:10 Absolutely 73:5 accept 67:10,14 69:6 accept 19:2 account 35:24 36:49 account 35:24 36:49 account 35:24 36:49 accountable add 83:5 added 75:19 Albarracin 3:16 73:14,16,24 ALEWELT 2:2 allowed 5:11 allowed 5:11 22:23 25:19 allowe 63:21 allowe 63:21 allowed 5:11 allowed 5:11 apply 28:16 29:11 33:22,23 allowe 63:21 amount 71:1 amended 15:7 17:16 amount 71:1 amount 71:1 answer 19:8 answer 19:8 answer 19:8 answer 19:8 answer 19:8 approaching 14:6 17:8 approaching 14:6 17:8 approaching 14:6 17:8 assuming 34:4,5 assigned 40:18 41:21 51:16 42:22 32:23 25:19 allowe 63:21 amount 71:1 apply 28:16 29:11 33:22,23 allowe 63:21 amount 71:1 apply 28:16 29:11 33:22,23 allowe 63:21 amount 71:1 apply 28:16 29:11 33:22,23 asserted 33:2 asserted 33:2 asserted 33:2 asserted 33:2 asserted 33:2 asserted 33:2 assume 18:9,21 19:15 20:19 22:15 25:18 44:16 61:4 apply 28:16 assume 18:9,21 approach 14:21 approach 14:21 approach 14:21 approaching 14:6 17:8 approaching 14:6 17:					
45:13 49:15 54:8 64:23 \$15,000 10:4 11:8 13:14 11:8 13:14 24:22 34,24 \$40,000 59:15 59:17,21 70:10 92:4,5 A.D 95:15 am:1:14 5:5 A.D 95:15 am:1:14 5:5 ABC 81:22 ble 59:23 abnormal 88:3 above-entitled 1:10 1:10 Absolutely 73:5 accept 67:10,14 69:6 acceptance 88:16 acceptance 88:18 approxach 14:21 appr					
54:8 64:23 additional 17:24 73:14,16,24 82:3,5 88:11 asserting 32:9 \$15,000 10:4 11:8 13:14 24:22 ALEWELT 2:2 88:11 assigned 40:18 \$15,000 10:4 11:8 13:14 31:14 32:22 32:3,5 88:11 38:11 assigned 40:18 \$12:2 340,000 59:15 39:17,21 70:10 35,000 63:17,21 34mission 72:20 34misted 72:21 34misted 72:21 34misted 72:21 34misted 72:21 34misted 72:21 34mount 71:1 39:11 3:22,23 32:11 3:22,23 32:11 3:22,23 32:11 3:22,23 32:11 3:22,23 32:11 3:22,23 32:11 3:22,23 32:11 3:22,23 32:11 3:22,23 32:11 3:22,23 32:11 3:22,23 32:11 3:22,23 32:11 3:22,23 32:12 3:12 3:12 32:12 3:12 3:12 32:12 3:12 3:12 32:12 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 3:12 3:12 32:13 3:12 3:12 3:12 3:12<					
\$\frac{\frac					
11:8 13:14			, ,		C
17:2 28:10,16		•			
29:4,24 \$40,000 59:15 59:17,21 70:10 \$\$5,000 63:17,21 64:11,15 92:1 92:4,5 A.D 95:15 am 1:14 5:5 ABC 81:22 able 59:23 abnormal 88:3 above-entitled 1:10 Absolutely 73:5 accept 67:10,14 69:6 Acceptance 88:16 account 35:24 36:4,9 accountable admitsd3:13 admitted 72:21 amended 15:7 17:16 amount 71:1 apply 28:16 29:11 33:22,23 54:18 78:1 93:6,11 applying 64:20 88:17 approach 14:21 15:13 approaching 14:6 17:8 approaching 14:6 17:8 approaching 14:6 17:8 approve 23:22 78:8 87:22 63:10 attached 84:10 approved 19:1 approved 19:1 approved 19:1 approved 19:1 approved 19:1 approved 6:23 approved 19:1 approved 1					
840,000 59:15 admission 72:20 22:23 25:19 29:11 33:22,23 assigning 54:13 \$59:17,21 70:10 355,000 63:17,21 64:11,15 92:1 advice 87:10 amount 71:1 applying 64:20 22:15 25:18 assume 18:9,21 A.D 95:15 agency 1:6 2:6 amount 71:1 83:1 86:2 approach 14:21 80:7 assuming 34:4,5 ABC 81:22 6:7 13:23 answer 19:8 approaching 14:6 17:8 approaching 34:9 assumption Absolutely 73:5 31:14 32:2 answering 64:6 anybody 49:14 approve 23:22 78:8 87:22 63:10 attached 84:10 accept 67:10,14 39:21 40:3,14 89:18 25:2,7,18 38:14 46:21 54:15 55:5 56:8,10,12 accepted 19:2 38:16 48:19,24 49:16 80:23 38:14 46:21 87:12 87:12 81:5 59:16 60:2,8,9 appearli:5 31:2 appearli:5 31:2 approving 46:24 49:113 49:13 <	,				
59:17,21 70:10 admit 63:13 admitted 72:21 advice 87:10 advises 87:23 allows 63:21 amended 15:7 amended 15:7 54:18 78:1 applying 64:20 amount 71:1 applying 64:20 approach 14:21				110	
S5,000 63:17,21 admitted 72:21 adwice 87:10 amended 15:7 93:6,11 19:15 20:19 22:15 25:18 A.D 95:15 aforesaid 95:8 agency 1:6 2:6 amount 71:1 83:1 86:2 approach 14:21 80:7 ABC 81:22 6:7 13:23 answer 19:8 78:2 answer 19:8 34:9 able 59:23 16:17 18:17 78:2 answering 64:6 approaching 14:6 17:8 assuming 34:4,5 above-entitled 1:10 26:8,15,24 anybody 49:14 49:21 80:19 approved 19:1 attached 84:10 Absolutely 73:5 30:21 40:3,14 49:21 80:19 approved 19:1 attached 84:10 acceptance 88:16 48:19,24 49:16 89:18 25:2,7,18 56:8,10,12 access 78:21,21 57:3 58:23 48:19,24 49:16 66:23 Apparently 83:16 86:5 Agenances 6:3 appeal 1:5 31:2 approves 60:23 43:14,16,21 accomplish 82:16 66:16 67:1 69:16 73:2 74:2,10 80:19 appeares 62:20 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 August 5:16 account 35:24 36:4,9					0 0
17:16	-				-
A.D 95:15 advises 87:23 amount 71:1 81:17 44:16 61:4 A.D 95:15 agency 1:6 2:6 amounts 23:23 approach 14:21 80:7 ABC 81:22 6:7 13:23 answer 19:8 approaching 34:9 able 59:23 16:17 18:17 78:2 answering 64:6 approaching 34:9 above-entitled 1:10 23:21 25:2,12 answering 64:6 approve 23:22 43:21 61:9 Absolutely 73:5 31:14 32:2 anybody 49:14 49:21 80:19 approved 19:1 attached 84:10 accept 67:10,14 39:21 40:3,14 49:21 80:19 approved 19:1 38:14 46:21 45:15 55:5 acceptance 88:16 48:19,24 49:16 66:23 Apparently 38:14 46:21 48:15 56:15 87:12 accepted 19:2 access 78:21,21 57:3 58:23 59:16 60:2,89 appeal 1:5 31:2 3ppeal 1:5 31:2 3pproves 60:23 45:17 82:16 66:16 67:1 69:16 73:2 3ppeared 2:5,10 approximately 45:17 available 10:8 account 35:24 36:4,9 74:2,10 80:19 appeares 62:20	, ,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A.D 95:15 am 1:14 5:5 ABC 81:22 able 59:23 abnormal 88:3 above-entitled 1:10 Absolutely 73:5 accept 67:10,14 69:6 acceptance 88:16 acceptal 19:2 accept 49:21 acceptal 19:2 accept 619:2 accept 619:2 accept 66:6 acceptal 19:2 accept 67:10,21 accept 67:10,21 accept 67:10,22 accept 67:10,23 ability 73:5 accept 619:2 accept 619:2 accept 66:6 accept 67:10,24 accept	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			110	
a.m 1:14 5:5 agency 1:6 2:6 amounts 23:23 15:13 assuming 34:4,5 ABC 81:22 6:7 13:23 answer 19:8 78:2 approaching 14:6 17:8 assumption above-entitled 1:10 19:21 21:23 answering 64:6 approve 23:22 43:21 61:9 Absolutely 73:5 accept 67:10,14 69:6 36:5 37:18 anyway 47:6 approved 19:1 attached 84:10 42:16,19 44:3 acceptance 88:16 48:19,24 49:16 55:18 56:15 89:18 25:2,7,18 56:8,10,12 accepted 19:2 access 78:21,21 81:5 55:18 56:15 Apparently 76:22 77:1 attorney's 9:17 82:16 accomplish 82:16 account 35:24 36:4,9 66:16 67:1 account 35:24 36:4,9 69:16 73:2 appears 62:20 33:12 appears 62:20 p:13 approximately 45:17 authority 32:5 available 10:8 36:4,9 accountable 83:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5					
ABC 81:22 able 59:23 abnormal 88:3 above-entitled 1:10 Absolutely 73:5 accept 67:10,14 69:6 acceptance 88:16 accepted 19:2 access 78:21,21 81:5 accomplish 82:16 account 35:24 36:4,9 accountable 66:7 13:23 16:17 18:17 78:2 answer 19:8 78:2 answering 64:6 anticipated 18:4 anybody 49:14 anybody 49:14 anybody 49:14 anyway 47:6 89:18 approved 19:1 approved 19:1 19:15,21 24:16 54:15 55:5 56:8,10,12 attorney 9:15 87:12 attorney 9:15 87:12 appearances 6:3 approves 60:23 approves 60:23 appearances 6:3 approves 60:23 approves 60					
able 59:23 16:17 18:17 78:2 14:6 17:8 assumption abnormal 88:3 above-entitled 23:21 25:2,12 answering 64:6 approve 23:22 43:21 61:9 1:10 26:8,15,24 anybody 49:14 89:5 attached 84:10 Absolutely 73:5 31:14 32:2 49:21 80:19 approved 19:1 attention 54:4 69:6 39:21 40:3,14 89:18 25:2,7,18 56:8,10,12 acceptance 42:16,19 44:3 apologize 37:13 38:14 46:21 attorney 9:15 88:16 48:19,24 49:16 66:23 Apparently 76:22 77:1 attorney's 9:17 accepted 19:2 55:18 56:15 Apparently 11:20 83:16 86:5 August 5:16 81:5 59:16 60:2,8,9 appeal 1:5 31:2 appearances 6:3 approves 60:23 approving 46:24 82:16 66:16 67:1 appeared 2:5,10 13:12 approximately available 10:8 82:4,9 74:2,10 80:19 appears 62:20 9:4 27:15 56:19 79:5 83:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5					
abnormal 88:3 above-entitled 1:10 19:21 21:23 answering 64:6 anticipated 18:4 approve 23:22 approve 23:22 43:21 61:9 63:10 attached 84:10				11	
above-entitled 23:21 25:2,12 anticipated 18:4 78:8 87:22 63:10 Absolutely 73:5 31:14 32:2 anybody 49:14 89:5 attached 84:10 accept 67:10,14 36:5 37:18 anyway 47:6 39:21 40:3,14 49:21 80:19 approved 19:1 attention 54:4 acceptance 42:16,19 44:3 48:19,24 49:16 89:18 25:2,7,18 56:8,10,12 accepted 19:2 55:18 56:15 Apparently 76:22 77:1 attorney's 9:17 access 78:21,21 57:3 58:23 11:20 83:16 86:5 August 5:16 accomplish 64:20 66:2,7 appearances 6:3 appearances 6:3 approving 46:24 45:17 account 35:24 66:16 67:1 36:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5 accountable 83:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5					-
1:10 26:8,15,24 anybody 49:14 89:5 attached 84:10 Absolutely 73:5 31:14 32:2 49:21 80:19 approved 19:1 attention 54:4 69:6 39:21 40:3,14 89:18 54:15 55:5 56:8,10,12 acceptance 42:16,19 44:3 apologize 37:13 38:14 46:21 attorney 9:15 88:16 48:19,24 49:16 66:23 48:1 56:15 87:12 accepted 19:2 55:18 56:15 Apparently 76:22 77:1 attorney's 9:17 81:5 42:0 66:2,8,9 appeal 1:5 31:2 91:13 43:14,16,21 accomplish 66:16 67:1 appeared 2:5,10 approving 46:24 45:17 account 35:24 69:16 73:2 13:12 approximately 9:4 27:15 12:2 45:23 accountable 83:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5			U	1 1	
Absolutely 73:5 31:14 32:2 49:21 80:19 anyway 47:6 approved 19:1 attention 54:4 69:6 39:21 40:3,14 approved 19:1 49:21 80:19 anyway 47:6 54:15 55:5 88:16 39:21 40:3,14 apologize 37:13 apologize 37:13 38:14 46:21 attorney 9:15 approved 19:1 88:16 48:19,24 49:16 accepted 19:2 access 78:21,21 sp:15 accepted 19:2 access 78:21,21 accepted 19:2 accepted 19:2 accepted 19:2 appearently sp:16 60:2,8,9 account 35:24 account 35:24 account 35:24 account 35:24 account 35:24 appears 62:20 accountable 49:21 80:19 anyway 47:6 sp:18 sp:18 apploagize 37:13 apploagize		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_		
accept 67:10,14 36:5 37:18 anyway 47:6 19:15,21 24:16 54:15 55:5 acceptance 39:21 40:3,14 42:16,19 44:3 apologize 37:13 38:14 46:21 45:15 55:5 accepted 19:2 48:19,24 49:16 66:23 Apparently 76:22 77:1 attorney's 9:17 access 78:21,21 57:3 58:23 59:16 60:2,8,9 appear ances 6:3 appearances 6:3 approves 60:23 August 5:16 accomplish 66:16 67:1 appeared 2:5,10 appeared 2:5,10 approving 46:24 approximately account 35:24 36:4,9 83:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5 accountable 83:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5	1:10				
acceptance 39:21 40:3,14 89:18 25:2,7,18 56:8,10,12 acceptance 42:16,19 44:3 42:16,19 44:3 38:14 46:21 42:16,19 44:3 accepted 19:2 48:19,24 49:16 66:23 48:1 56:15 87:12 access 78:21,21 57:3 58:23 11:20 83:16 86:5 August 5:16 81:5 59:16 60:2,8,9 appeal 1:5 31:2 43:14,16,21 accomplish 66:16 67:1 appeared 2:5,10 approving 46:24 45:17 account 35:24 69:16 73:2 13:12 approximately available 10:8 36:4,9 83:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5 82:16 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5	•				
acceptance 88:16 42:16,19 44:3 48:19,24 49:16 apologize 37:13 66:23 38:14 46:21 48:1 56:15 76:22 77:1 83:16 86:5 attorney 9:15 87:12 accepted 19:2 access 78:21,21 81:5 55:18 56:15 59:16 60:2,8,9 64:20 66:2,7 66:16 67:1 account 35:24 36:4,9 Apparently 11:20 appear ances 6:3 appear ances 6:3 appear d 2:5,10 13:12 appears 62:20 83:14 46:21 48:1 56:15 87:12 August 5:16 43:14,16,21 43:14,16,21 45:17 appear ances 6:3 account 35:24 36:4,9 appear d 2:5,10 69:16 73:2 74:2,10 80:19 appears 62:20 95:10 p:4 27:15 75:18,19 76:7 available 10:8 12:2 45:23 56:19 79:5	accept 67:10,14				
88:16 48:19,24 49:16 66:23 48:1 56:15 87:12 accepted 19:2 55:18 56:15 Apparently 76:22 77:1 attorney's 9:17 81:5 59:16 60:2,8,9 appeal 1:5 31:2 91:13 43:14,16,21 accomplish 66:16 67:1 appearances 6:3 approving 46:24 45:17 account 35:24 69:16 73:2 13:12 approximately available 10:8 36:4,9 83:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5	69:6	,			
accepted 19:2 55:18 56:15 Apparently 76:22 77:1 attorney's 9:17 81:5 59:16 60:2,8,9 appeal 1:5 31:2 91:13 43:14,16,21 accomplish 66:16 67:1 appearances 6:3 approves 60:23 45:17 account 35:24 69:16 73:2 13:12 approving 46:24 authority 32:5 36:4,9 74:2,10 80:19 95:10 9:4 27:15 12:2 45:23 36:49 83:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5	acceptance	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•
access 78:21,21 57:3 58:23 11:20 83:16 86:5 August 5:16 81:5 59:16 60:2,8,9 appeal 1:5 31:2 approves 60:23 43:14,16,21 accomplish 66:16 67:1 appeared 2:5,10 approving 46:24 authority 32:5 account 35:24 74:2,10 80:19 appears 62:20 9:4 27:15 12:2 45:23 accountable 83:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
81:5 59:16 60:2,8,9 appeal 1:5 31:2 91:13 43:14,16,21 accomplish 64:20 66:2,7 appearances 6:3 approves 60:23 45:17 account 35:24 69:16 73:2 13:12 approving 46:24 authority 32:5 36:4,9 74:2,10 80:19 appears 62:20 9:4 27:15 12:2 45:23 accountable 83:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5	_				
accomplish 64:20 66:2,7 appearances 6:3 approves 60:23 45:17 account 35:24 69:16 73:2 13:12 approving 46:24 authority 32:5 36:4,9 74:2,10 80:19 appears 62:20 9:4 27:15 12:2 45:23 accountable 83:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5					U
82:16 account 35:24 36:4,9 accountable 82:16 66:16 67:1 69:16 73:2 74:2,10 80:19 83:24 84:22 83:24 84:22 95:10 appeared 2:5,10 13:12 approximately 9:4 27:15 75:18,19 76:7 75:18,19 76:7 75:18,19 76:7 75:18,19 76:7 75:18,19 76:7		· · ·			
account 35:24 69:16 73:2 13:12 approximately 9:4 27:15 available 10:8 36:4,9 83:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5	_				
36:4,9 74:2,10 80:19 appears 62:20 9:4 27:15 12:2 45:23 accountable 83:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5			11	11 0	
accountable 83:24 84:22 95:10 75:18,19 76:7 56:19 79:5					
05.24.06.1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
86:23 85:24 86:1 applicant 66:18 April 1:1,14 5:4 80:24				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	86:23	85:24 86:1	applicant 66:18	Aprii 1:1,14 5:4	80:24
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

April 10, 2014

	_	_		
Avenue 1:13 2:7	70:23 77:4,13	46:19,21,24	38:6 62:13	circumstances
aware 7:2 54:7	78:12 80:4	66:17 76:23	63:14 64:19	63:22 67:6
56:14 59:20	better 7:12 18:3	77:2 78:10	73:12 89:15	89:7
60:5,9 64:22	20:18 25:10	84:12 90:22	93:4 94:14	claim 5:14 11:21
	37:5	budgets 22:6	cases 47:10,22	38:6,7 39:2,10
B	big 21:4	23:18 38:13	cashed 82:23	39:23 40:18
B 4:1	Bigger 51:2	39:10,11 78:8	Catherine 3:9	41:3,15 43:11
Bachelor 9:10	biggest 86:20	78:8 85:17,19	35:14,21	45:7 46:8,17
back 13:1 40:14	87:8	building 21:1	Cathy 78:13	47:5,17 48:1
44:12 61:21	Bill 9:18	bulk 89:7	cause 1:10	52:8 53:2,7
69:7,19 70:6	bit 58:5	Bureau 74:22	certain 33:19	54:11 59:15,17
73:11 75:3	black 92:17	bureaucracy	63:21 66:13	59:21 66:17
82:2,4 91:6,14	Board 1:1,12	87:7	76:23 86:2	68:3,14 70:10
backlog 53:15	5:17 42:17	business 37:10	certification	75:3,6 77:10
75:12,13 84:1	Board's 5:23 6:1		19:19	78:9 79:3
backup 46:15,16	7:6 93:24 94:3	C	Certified 95:5	80:16 84:16
backups 47:2	Books 53:10,17	C 2:1 8:16 27:11	certify 95:6	85:7 88:13
balance 86:9	53:18 55:8,9	31:8,20 35:17	chance 23:24	89:4,5
based 31:18	75:8,9,10 76:2	50:14 70:14	86:10	claimant 39:4
basically 6:24	76:18 85:8	73:20 83:20	change 61:23	claims 11:20
11:22 18:13	bootstrap 69:20	90:19 91:18	62:7,12 77:14	36:11 37:8,11
33:11 55:22	bottom 45:14	cabinet 40:5	82:14 91:22,23	37:11 38:4,12
75:17 76:21	boundaries	calculations	91:24	38:15 40:7
77:3 87:19	20:15	46:17	changed 36:19	44:9,19,22
basis 66:18	boundary 21:14	call 8:6,8 9:13	38:1 62:15	46:14 47:3
Bauer 3:12	box 2:8 51:2	26:23 35:11	77:12 81:14,15	49:11 51:15,17
41:15 44:6,13	bread 51:2	36:20 37:11	88:24 91:24	52:13 53:13,24
49:3,21 50:9	break 73:4,7	50:7,8 54:10	changes 63:16	54:23,24 56:22
50:11,18,19	89:14	73:13 80:6	79:12,15 91:3	56:23 59:12
70:13 77:11,17	Brian 3:12	86:19	changing 62:5	67:8 75:12,14
Bauer's 41:17	41:14,17 44:6	called 8:14	characterize	75:18,21 76:7
bays 21:2	44:13 49:3,21	35:15 50:12	51:22	76:7,10,10,12
bearing 42:21	50:8,11,18	73:17	check 36:11	76:13 77:17,18
beginning 5:5	77:11,17 92:22	calls 86:17 92:13	37:8 39:10	77:21 78:3,13
behalf 2:5,10	Brickey 2:13	calm 64:6	46:15 77:2	78:15 81:24
73:2	95:5,20	capable 32:10	82:7,21,22	83:6,7,10,16
believe 7:24	brief 94:3,4,5,10	32:13	87:12,23 88:9	85:14 87:4
15:19 16:5	94:13	Capitol 2:3	checked 76:17	clarification
17:23 21:19	bringing 44:17	card 65:6	76:24 77:20	72:2
27:23 28:12	brought 7:2	care 90:4	checking 42:8	clarify 70:11
32:3,11,12,14	58:1 81:20	Carol 1:11 5:2	47:11	clarifying 90:18
34:9 42:7	83:12	case 5:7,13,18	checks 47:7 52:8	classification
43:20 54:17	budget 22:1	6:18,20,22 7:1	66:10 92:22	38:16
55:9 56:5,24	23:12,15,20,22	7:16 29:13	Chicago 95:22	clean 9:22 22:19
60:22 62:24	24:5,10 38:14	31:16 32:7,11	choice 93:6	cleanup 18:9,23
	2 1.2,10 30.17	<u> </u>	2110100 75.0	
	1	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

April 10, 2014

T				rage 96
65:20 79:22	24:12 25:17	61:14,16	60:7	days 36:10
80:7,20 81:1,4	complied 16:9	Cook 95:3,14	COURT 33:6	55:19 61:17
88:5 89:8 90:3	complying 25:8	copies 39:21	cover 12:24 13:7	76:11,14
90:9	comptying 25.8	57:24	create 26:21	dead 67:15
clear 5:20 7:4,6	67:8,9,13,18	copy 39:9,15,16	cross 6:19	deadline 5:16
53:14 75:12	67:19 69:5	43:10 47:3	Cross-Examin	76:11 94:1
92:12,17 93:5	82:2,21	60:8 63:8	3:5,14,18	deadlined 76:11
clearly 7:20	conclude 23:8	correct 12:4	CSD 8:24 9:3	Deanne 60:19
client 10:6,24	94:15	13:5 18:5,7,19	16:18 20:23	61:11 62:4
11:1,5 16:22	conclusions	18:22 20:4,21	CSR 2:13,13	death 70:11
17:1 18:6 27:4	20:12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
32:15		22:6,7,11 23:13 24:9	95:20,23	deceased 9:21
	conduct 5:19		current 8:21	decedent 7:12
closely 42:23	conducted 5:24	25:4,20 26:3	47:14 50:20	7:14,15 66:8
76:17 77:20	confidentiality	26:11,12 28:7	81:15 82:24	67:22 69:13,20
86:11	79:3	28:11 29:16	currently 36:10	decided 6:19
closing 94:8	confirm 65:7	31:2,23,24	customers 21:3	decision 5:16,18
cognizant 55:23	confirmed 28:20	34:10,12 37:3		32:8,9 33:12
come 40:10	confused 52:2,3	43:14,18 45:16	D 1:2 2:2 3:1	33:16 34:17
41:24 67:6,18	considering	45:20 46:24	8:16 31:8	49:16 60:15
75:23 77:23	16:22	47:9 52:5 53:4		61:24 62:8,14
82:2,4 90:7	consisted 19:22	54:5,6 55:6,19	35:17 50:14 73:20 90:19	63:8 86:2
comes 39:2	consistency 41:9	56:1,8 62:15		92:17
53:23 63:7	consistent 85:22	62:16 65:12,16	Dan 60:20	decisions 33:1,3
78:9 79:24	consultant 47:13	65:17 68:16,19	data 59:10	33:4 62:5
81:23 82:24	consumptive	68:20 69:10	database 10:8,9	declared 64:5
comfortable	29:7	71:11 80:14	11:14,14,17	deductibility
19:9	contact 9:19	82:19 83:4	12:1 16:17	13:19,22 17:15
coming 74:19	60:14,18	84:2 86:5,6,8	40:11 43:24	17:22 27:18
comment 5:12	contacted 9:15	88:2 91:12	45:6,21,22	31:15 32:3
93:24 94:1	61:11	92:8 95:9	46:7,19 48:22	39:21 40:2,8
commitment	contacting 61:10	corrective 38:19	56:19 57:3,7	44:3 47:4,7,16
24:5	contain 84:13	52:12,15 71:4	57:12,14,21	54:22 59:5
common 57:6	85:11	correctly 11:12	58:17 59:1,2,8	60:3,8,13
company 80:6	contamination	24:4 85:21	59:11,24 78:18	63:16 65:8
82:11	20:14 21:15	89:6,14	78:20,21,21,24	68:4,9,15
company's 69:7	22:13 23:10	correspondence	databases 28:6	77:24 81:9
compare 46:4	contingent 17:1	58:19	59:3 78:19	84:5,11,20
76:22 78:9	contract 27:3	cost 13:10 90:9	date 28:22 30:11	88:6
84:14,17	Control 1:1,12	costs 22:2 24:13	31:3 32:4 33:4	deductible 7:5,8
complete 46:10	5:17	25:7,14 71:4	34:2 38:8,8	7:15,20,22 8:1
95:9	controversy	County 5:6 95:3	41:4 43:13	8:2,2,3,3,4
completed 65:20	53:3	95:14	58:5	10:4 11:9
Completely 42:5	convenience	couple 57:22	dates 46:10	13:10,14 17:1
completion	21:12	58:17 90:17	day 1:14 21:12	18:2 20:8
21:21 23:6	conversations	course 6:21 57:8	44:9 95:14	24:22 26:8,15
				,
	•	•	•	·

April 10, 2014

				rage 33
27:5 28:10,16	37:5 51:14	discuss 80:16	dwindling 52:19	69:4 70:21
29:5,10 30:1,1	determination	93:22	dwinding 32.17	81:9,21 82:9
30:9 32:13,20	13:19,22 17:16	discussed 62:4	\mathbf{E}	82:15 84:5,10
32:21,22 33:17	17:22 18:2	70:8,9	E 2:1,1 3:1 4:1	84:16,20 85:11
33:24 34:8,19	26:15 31:15	discussion 70:4	8:16,16 27:11	85:16 88:5
40:12 41:11,24	32:22 39:21	dispute 37:24	31:8,8,8,20,20	89:9
43:18,22,23	40:2,14 44:3	51:11	35:17,17 50:14	eligible 13:13
44:18 45:13,13	45:13 47:4,8	disregarded	50:14 70:14	68:7 89:21,24
48:2,24 49:15	49:15,22 54:8	69:5,6	73:20,20 83:20	90:5,6,13
54:19 56:16	54:22 55:24	division 36:22	90:19,19,19	Elston 3:9 35:14
57:14 60:16	57:15 58:22	36:23 49:18	91:18,18	35:21,22 52:4
61:12 63:18,21	59:6 60:13	51:6	earlier 15:19,22	53:21,54:13
64:12,15,17,19	62:23 63:5,16	document 10:15	38:22 41:3	55:1 56:3 59:6
64:21 75:7	64:17,20,23	12:7,18 14:9	46:3 53:7	77:6,11 78:13
		14:18 15:1,15	81:20 89:2	Elston's 52:20
78:1 79:16,16 79:18,22 81:11	68:4,9,16 69:2 69:4 78:1	16:13 17:6,10	early 40:14	employed 36:6
84:21 85:12	81:21 82:15	19:8,10 42:9	58:18	74:10
87:15,17 88:10		55:8 58:9	easier 14:2	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	84:17,20 88:6		easily 82:10	enclosed 13:4
88:12,14,21	89:10 92:7	70:17	east 1:13 2:7	encourage 87:18
90:23,24 91:23	determinations	documentation	21:18	enforcement
91:24 92:4,6,6	40:9 47:16	36:13 38:9	education 9:8	79:2
92:9,11,14	60:3,8,23 61:5	46:16,16 70:12	effort 85:13	ensuring 36:12
93:7,9	65:13 84:5,11	82:13,16 87:20	eight 70:23	entailed 51:14
deductibles 7:7	determine 34:17	documents 13:3	Eighteen 27:16	enter 46:18
7:10 31:22	Determining	17:5 19:5,5,7	elect 18:17 80:2	entire 6:17
32:5 33:18	70:21	19:11 36:24	81:6 87:14	entities 69:7
48:19 92:2	dies 65:19 66:8	62:17,20 68:21	88:16	entitled 70:17
93:11	difference 58:4	89:10		entity 69:5,10
deemed 68:6	different 18:20	DocuWare	elected 32:16 electing 86:18	81:23,23 82:9
86:3,5	18:21 22:9	39:19	86:21 88:4	82:12 89:1
defined 23:9	23:2 49:6	doing 11:6 25:22	election 18:11	entrants 55:17
definitely 76:23	51:16 57:21	54:9 57:9		entries 58:17
denials 25:15	66:5 69:9 76:3	82:23	19:1,13,14,20	Environmental
denied 68:3 86:4	78:22 81:23	dollar 23:23	65:21 66:19	1:6 2:6 6:7
deny 25:13	82:21 85:5	dollars 24:19	67:3 80:18	8:24 9:10
Depending 39:9	88:19 92:20	download 78:24	89:9 90:11	16:18 18:16
deposeth 8:15	93:9	79:2,4	elections 67:11	20:24 31:13
35:16 50:13	diligence 30:22	drawn 20:13	87:22	32:2 50:21
73:18	direct 3:4,10,13	drew 54:15 55:5	elects 80:6	85:23 86:14
describe 25:10	3:17 28:21	56:8,9,11	eligibility 16:19	EPA 32:8 33:12
36:9 43:8	54:18	drilling 19:23	17:3,15,22	34:18 54:8
describing 53:22	direction 95:12	due 30:21 93:23	27:18 47:4	55:23 64:23
83:23	directions 21:13	94:4,5,6	58:22 60:13,23	EPA's 10:8
description	discourage	duly 8:14 35:15	62:23 63:4	11:16 56:18
20:19 36:16	87:18	50:12 73:17	68:4,8,15 69:2	57:13
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

April 10, 2014

				Tage 100
equity 86:9	14:10,22 15:2	feel 19:9 51:23	75:3 76:19	49:2,3,13 80:8
essentially 19:11	15:6,14,16,23	FEIN 67:12 69:6	fiscal 78:6 92:21	four 22:5,8 23:2
24:5 26:7	16:7 17:9,11	fiction 7:13	fit 21:11	28:2 29:16
established	27:23 42:10,14	field 9:4	five 30:19 35:4	free 51:23 90:8
32:19	43:3 44:23	Fifteen 36:3,18	73:6	frequently 20:2
estate 1:2 5:3	57:16 58:8,10	51:3	focusing 51:9	47:19
6:5 7:11,13,14	58:14 59:4	file 13:20 30:22	FOIA 10:9	front 20:3 77:3
9:16,21 11:5	71:16	30:23 38:5,9	12:13 13:2	85:9,10
16:22 17:17	exhibits 72:9,15	38:11,11,18	14:19 15:11	fund 10:3 11:8
18:12,14,17	72:21	39:17 40:3,3,5	16:14,15 30:23	13:13 22:21,24
26:7 32:15	exist 26:19	40:10,13 48:19	31:1	81:5
40:19 41:12,22	expect 66:7	49:6,6 52:23	FOIA'd 28:6	further 6:21
52:23 60:14	expect 66.7 expedite 85:13	54:4,23,24	30:22	10:1 22:12,19
65:20 66:8,20	expedite 85.15 experience	55:1,3,8 61:21	folded 78:13	27:7 31:5,7,17
67:2,20 69:14	31:13 57:7	77:5,6	folder 38:13	34:20 49:24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	74:16	filed 6:20 42:16	48:21	69:23 71:13
69:18 89:8,10		94:2		
89:11,16	explain 29:4 44:15 78:19	94:2 final 5:18 49:16	following 21:20 21:21 73:8	72:6 73:1
estates 67:11				83:19 85:13
estimate 71:3,9	explaining 52:3	financial 42:21	follows 8:15	89:24 90:6,13
eventually 75:15	extensively 57:2	find 32:4 56:7	35:16 50:13	90:16 91:16
78:12	extent 22:16	fine 14:4 35:8	73:18	93:14,16,18
everybody 55:15	23:9 68:23,24	Finishing 80:15	foregoing 95:8	G
57:10	extra 75:22	fire 7:18,21 10:9	form 15:8,10,20	gas 21:10 69:3
evidence 11:21	\mathbf{F}	11:14 12:13	17:17 19:19	81:22
13:18 34:6	facility 20:23	13:1,8 14:13	43:8 45:2,5	gasoline 29:20
64:22 65:1	fact 70:12 80:22	14:19 15:8	46:2 67:19,19	29:21
72:15	87:12	16:8,16 17:14	70:20 80:23	general 12:2
Examination	factors 66:5	17:21 18:13	81:9,21 87:13	40:3
3:4,6,10,13,17	facts 34:4,5	31:15 32:9	87:21	generally 57:16
3:19	87:19	33:2,13 47:8	formal 55:15	64:12
example 76:24	fair 24:24 25:9	60:4,7,10,15	formalized	Gerald 1:2 5:4
77:24	43:20 45:19	60:21,24 62:18	55:17	6:5 64:24
examples 38:18	83:14,17 86:11	63:5 81:7,14	former 14:14	Gerard 5:15
exceeded 21:14	86:12	82:15 84:13,15	16:9 79:17	getting 25:15
22:17	fairly 85:22 93:1	84:18 87:13,24	forms 16:2	51:22 58:16
Excited 18:23	93:1,2	88:9,14,15,18	46:18 47:2	67:8
exclusively	falling 83:9	88:23	57:18 81:17	give 20:18 35:23
29:14 30:15,17	familiar 9:12	first 6:16 8:6,14	89:3	38:17,18 50:20
excuse 50:4	43:6 45:1,2	9:12 10:5 19:1	forth 81:18	51:13 92:24
exhibit 4:5,6,7,8	56:18 63:15	35:15 38:5,6	forward 18:8,14	given 27:3 44:11
4:9,10,11,12	64:11	39:1,23 40:17	18:16 66:9	44:12 53:9
4:13 10:12,16	far 37:16 45:7	40:18,23 41:10	81:4 89:19,19	63:24 81:22
10:20 11:10	46:17 85:18	41:14,21 48:24	90:15	giving 26:24
12:6,8,12,17	faster 64:9	50:12 52:22	forwards 80:12	87:9
12:19,23 14:7	1 astel 07.7	67:3 73:17	found 48:24	07.7
1	I	I	ĺ	1

April 10, 2014

				Tage 101
go 5:1 7:10	handed 41:20	highest 9:8	46:6	interested 10:1
18:14,15 21:3	handing 58:13	93:12	imposition	internally 75:17
21:18 25:19,22	handled 51:17	hit 92:14	24:21	77:14 79:7
35:6 47:6,23	51:17,18	hold 5:8	incident 9:24	Internet 45:23
67:11 68:9	hands 79:12,15	Honorable 1:11	46:7 78:17	intuitively 87:4
70:1,6 73:10	handwriting	hopefully 78:4	92:9,11	invalid 33:1,14
73:11 79:6,16	45:8,10	hostile 64:2,5	inclination 30:7	34:18
82:14 88:5,9	happen 58:24	hour 1:14	include 40:13	invalidate 33:3
89:2 90:8 91:6	happened 47:19	housekeeping	88:15	investigate
91:13	47:21 78:11	93:22	included 23:12	20:16
goes 39:16 69:7	89:13 91:21,21	humans 87:6	includes 63:8	investigating 22:12
78:6 79:18,23	happy 18:6	T	inconsistency	
82:1	hard 44:9	ID 69:15	41:8	investigation
going 7:1,2 10:4	headway 83:10	idea 48:15 49:4	incorrect 63:11	11:11 19:22
14:21 15:13	Health 9:11	identification	63:14	20:3 22:1,5,21
18:8 21:6	heard 26:14	4:3 10:17 12:9	incurred 24:14	23:6,11,16
22:11 28:9	60:6	12:20 14:11	71:4	24:12,16 25:1
29:24 30:9	hearing 1:10,11	15:3,17 17:12	indicated 22:4	25:5,6 91:5
42:6,13,15	5:1,3,8,19,22	42:11 58:11	31:10 46:11	investigations
46:20 48:3	6:8,13 7:4 8:5		62:9	23:3
53:22 65:15	8:9 10:13 14:1	66:11	indicating 54:3	investigative
70:16 72:14,15	14:3 27:9 31:6	identified 22:14	indication 59:5	20:12
72:17 82:4,4	34:23 35:3,7	41:16 43:23	information 7:1	involved 46:23
83:1 88:22	35:11 48:12	44:13 45:18	7:19 10:7,21	52:22 61:2
Good 5:2 35:22	50:2,6 64:7	84:22	10:23 13:1,8	77:16,18
50:19	70:3,6 71:15	identifies 68:10	13:16 17:24	involvement
Grand 1:12 2:7	71:19,23 72:1	identify 16:6	22:15 24:11	53:6
granted 5:8	72:5,8,13,19	41:10 46:13	28:2,22 39:3,6	involves 52:7
green 65:6	72:24 73:5,10	51:23 54:12	39:24 46:3,19	involving 9:6
groundwater	73:19 93:17,21	57:13,14 58:21	56:22 57:1	issue 11:7 27:1
19:23	94:11,14,20	identifying 19:9	59:12 78:18,22	32:5 41:24
group 78:14	hearsay 64:1	IEPA 5:4 28:5	78:23 79:1,3,4	43:23 44:18
guess 9:22 16:3	heating 29:6,14	30:23	initial 16:4	45:18 54:16
18:24 20:2	29:15,17,22	II 35:24 36:4	17:24 19:16	69:4,17 81:20
29:20 40:22	30:2,13,16,17	III 50:22	46:24	82:20,21 85:8
44:12 56:10	held 9:1 74:22	Illinois 1:1,6,12	initially 10:22	90:21 93:11
57:15 59:4	help 57:15	1:13 2:4,6,8	17:3,23 22:13	issued 7:7,22
61:4 65:17	helpful 87:11	5:16 6:7 9:11	57:8	31:23 32:3,14
66:13 92:21	helps 81:19	18:16 31:13	initiated 19:17	61:12 65:8,14
	Hernando 3:16	32:2,8 33:12	65:19	66:11 69:1,3
H	73:14,16,24	34:18 36:5	instance 25:3,21	88:14,21
H 4:1 24:3,6	higher 8:1,2,3	95:1,14,22	69:12 91:22	issues 46:12,13
25:8 36:12	47:23 54:19	imagine 18:4	instances 86:3	51:18 64:16
hand 42:13 78:6	64:21 92:7,11	85:16	insurance 37:10	67:7,20
78:6 85:14	92:14 93:6,12	important 7:9	interest 5:7	issuing 32:10,13
	72.1173.0,12	•		13541116 52.10,13
	l	<u> </u>	I .	ı

April 10, 2014

				Page 102
item 71:1	82:17 87:17	looking 27:14	36:1,15 50:23	78:19 79:11
item /1.1	89:23	leaking 27:14 74:5,16,20,24	51:1 67:24	86:1
Items 95.22	89.23 kinds 51:18	learn 41:10	69:2 74:7	80.1
J	78:22 92:20	leave 35:1 69:22	look 10:19 28:1	M
$\overline{\mathbf{J}}$ 2:13	knew 9:22 48:7	leeway 64:1	29:9,9 40:8	M 8:16 27:11
Jarvis 2:7 3:5,7	know 19:7,12	left 78:14	43:2,3,6 44:24	31:8,20 35:17
3:14,17,19 6:6	21:16 22:16	legal 7:13 34:14	45:1,2 46:1	50:14 70:14
6:6,14,16	26:19 30:8	34:16 49:18	48:21 55:10	73:20 83:20
27:10,12 31:4	33:8 37:16	66:3 67:23	56:23 57:7	90:19 91:18
31:18,21 33:10	38:1 41:5	87:9	58:17 59:3	Macoupin 5:6
34:5,11,15	42:21 44:6,20	legally 32:10,13	61:20 65:12	main 11:7 76:9
35:8 42:7 48:3	47:24 48:13,13	legislative 86:7	71:1 76:20	maintained
48:9 50:1,4	48:21 49:2,5	let's 73:6 81:22	78:3 85:12	52:18
58:2,7 63:23	49:11,13 55:4	82:22 89:5	86:13 87:12	making 19:11
64:4 70:1,7,9	55:7,20 56:2	90:2,4,6	90:23	49:9,16 61:5,6
70:15 71:13,17	57:12 59:23	letter 10:2 12:24	looked 10:7,23	61:8 72:2 83:9
71:21,24 72:3	61:1 62:1,3,4	14:13 16:8	11:22 13:7	85:22
72:12,17 73:1	65:4,5,9,23	26:10,13 39:9	21:14 28:5,5	manage 83:12
73:3,11,13,21	66:2 67:5,16	39:13 44:12	29:23 41:15	management
83:18 90:17,20	67:23 68:2	54:8 55:24	46:3 75:20	37:11
91:16 93:16,18	72:10 77:4	56:4 64:23	76:21 85:9	manager 8:23
93:20 94:11,12	80:19 83:5	65:5,6,8 88:8	86:13	37:12 74:3,8
94:19	85:3,6 86:17	88:16 90:1,7,8	looking 12:3	74:24 75:2
job 9:2 35:23	89:3,20 92:23	90:14 91:4,4	13:15 36:24	managers 56:23
36:8,15 37:15	92:24	letters 90:21	37:2 40:7 41:2	mangled 25:9
37:22 50:20,24	knowledge 32:1	level 9:8	41:14 44:21	manner 5:20
51:14 55:17	48:5,10 62:14	liability 79:22	59:24 77:3	March 77:13
57:7 74:22	66:16 67:1	80:1,7	looks 41:1,19	78:12
84:24	known 5:7 9:23	liaison 53:12	42:20 43:13,16	mark 10:11,13
jotted 42:22	т	77:18	44:19 46:2	14:2 53:10,17
judgment 6:20	<u>L</u>	License 2:13	62:24 90:22	53:18 55:8,9
July 28:18,20	Land 74:22	lifetime 7:16	lot 11:18 19:6	75:9,10 76:2
29:3,12 54:10	large 75:13	light 7:3 40:11	20:2 21:4	85:8
71:5	law 7:4,19 29:2	likewise 94:12	42:22 64:1	marked 4:3
June 75:11,21 94:6	32:23,24 33:19	limited 86:24	66:4 76:12	10:15 12:6,7
94.0	34:11,19 63:20	link 82:13,17	79:6 90:12	12:16,18 14:7
K	65:11,12,15,22 65:24 69:16	list 75:20	loud 28:15	14:9,22 15:1 15:14,15 17:9
kept 38:21	86:15 92:12,16	lists 38:14	lower 7:15 8:2,3	17:10 42:9,14
kind 19:16 24:6	93:5	little 17:4 20:18	LUST 9:13	58:9,14
24:10 37:23,24	laws 33:21	21:3 58:5	13:10,13 36:23	marshall 7:18
40:24 42:22	lawsuit 26:11	locate 54:21 located 5:6	43:10 46:6	7:22 12:14
52:9 53:12	lead 64:2	Lock 60:19	51:5,7 54:23 54:24 56:18	13:9 14:14,19
55:11 57:8	leading 63:24	Lock 60:19 Lock's 60:20	57:9,12,13	15:8 16:8,16
61:21 67:5,7	leads 66:5	long 9:1 27:13	75:5 78:12,17	17:15,21 18:14
ŕ		Tong 7.1 47.13	13.3 10.12,17	
			<u> </u>	ı

April 10, 2014

•				Page 103
31:15 32:9	91:3	50:17 68:3	59:7 95:11	31:14 60:14
33:2,13 47:9	migrated 52:16	69:7,8,9 73:22	notice 28:19	63:5
60:4,7,10,15	mine 45:11	81:14 88:24	noticed 5:22	Officer 1:11 5:1
60:21,24 62:18	minute 70:2	names 68:21	44:21	6:8,13 8:5,9
63:6 81:8,14	mis-describe	nature 9:19	notification 15:7	10:13 14:1,3
82:15 84:14,15	37:22	23:14	16:5 17:16	27:9 31:6
84:18 87:13,24	mistake 7:17,22	necessarily 56:6	41:23	34:23 35:3,7
,	61:15 62:6	79:21 85:7	November 9:16	35:11 48:12
88:9,14,16,19 88:23	86:20 87:9		10:22 12:14	50:2,6 64:7
marshall's 10:10	mistakes 83:14	necessary 41:7 need 7:4 46:10	number 9:24	70:3,6 71:15
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11:14 13:1	86:16,19,24	67:20 68:22	29:10 67:12,14	71:19,23 72:1
match 46:21	87:1,3,5	79:15 81:10,13	68:1 69:6,8,14	72:5,8,13,19
47:10 68:5,22	modern 21:11 MOHAN 2:2	88:8,13 91:13	69:15	72:24 73:5,10
82:10 89:4,5		needed 14:15	numbers 66:11	73:19 93:17,21
matches 82:9	moment 91:9	18:14,17 41:5	numerous 44:8	94:11,14,20 official 95:13
materials 16:16	money 6:23 21:9 26:8 67:18	53:24 89:8,9	0	
21:22 37:2		needs 55:15	O 8:16 27:11,11	offsite 20:16,16
62:21	71:2,6 82:22	66:18 67:2,19	31:8,20,20	oil 29:7,14,15,17
math 36:11	89:23 90:10	81:12	35:17 50:14	29:21,22 30:3
37:17 41:20	monies 71:10	neighboring	70:14,14 73:20	30:13,16,17
46:18	Monroe 95:21	22:14	83:20,20 90:19	okay 6:13 8:5,9
matter 51:11	morning 5:2	neutral 5:19	91:18,18	11:3 16:1 20:1
57:8 60:7	35:22 50:19	never 11:19	object 6:17,21	27:9,10,24
mean 25:12	motion 1:10	62:15 88:11,12	48:3 63:23	28:21 29:1,23
26:17 37:16	motions 6:19	new 7:1 10:24	72:16,18	30:5,21 31:4
47:20 48:20,20	move 20:16	38:6,24 55:17	*	34:15,23 35:7
49:10 57:4,21	22:18 66:9	64:15 79:15,24	objection 34:3 58:3 72:20	38:10 40:6
58:16 68:11	81:4 84:3 85:3	81:10,12,16	84:23	44:2 45:12
85:16 87:3	multiple 40:8	88:24,24 89:1	objectives 22:18	48:17 50:2
92:13	47:15 57:21	89:3,9,15 91:6	obtain 15:10	51:12 54:2
meaning 66:12	61:4 84:21	Nicholson 9:18	16:12 44:3	57:24 58:7,15
76:16 77:15	93:10	nine 9:3	obtained 9:9	59:9 63:10
81:13 88:20	N	Nineteen 74:14	14:18 55:16	69:9 70:22
means 86:3,4	N 2:1 3:1 8:16	74:15		71:12,23 72:8
88:8		Nod 33:5	obtaining 10:1	72:19,24 73:19
meet 92:19	8:16 27:11,11	non-lawyer	occupation 8:22	73:22 75:3
meeting 66:5	31:8,8,20,20	34:14,16	occur 61:17	76:4 77:6
meetings 92:23	35:17,17 50:14	Nope 72:7	occurrence 92:10 93:7	78:17 79:10
Melanie 2:7 6:6	50:14 70:14,14	normally 55:1		83:18 91:15
members 5:10	73:20,20 83:20	north 1:12 2:3,7	October 45:14	93:21
5:11,17	83:20 90:19,19	5:15 21:17	45:18 51:10	old 2:3 21:8
mention 90:24	91:18,18	notation 44:20	offer 72:9,14	76:11,14 81:21
mess 17:4	name 5:2 8:19	notations 45:6	offered 37:6	older 39:18
Microsoft 78:21	9:17 17:18	note 5:9 7:10	Office 7:17,21	48:19
mid-remediati	20:20 35:20	notes 22:4 46:9	10:10 13:2	on-the-job 55:13
			<u> </u>	

April 10, 2014

				1 agc 104
56:3	65:18	80:12 81:10,12	89:1 90:22	39:7,8 41:14
once 7:24 19:20	owners 86:18	81:17 82:5,14	person's 45:9	42:17 44:5
69:14	ownership	82:19,21,23	69:8	54:7 65:22
ones 39:18	79:11,14 91:3	83:4 88:19,24	personal 95:11	69:19 80:21
online 12:2 28:5	owns 79:19	89:3		
	OWIIS /9.19		personally 49:19	87:19 89:18,19
78:23 79:6	P	party's 5:8	petitioner 1:4	90:14
80:22 81:13	P 2:1,1	pass 55:21	2:5 5:6 6:5,9	Pollution 1:1,12
87:20	page 3:3 11:21	passed 64:12	6:11,22 8:6,7	5:17
open 69:14	27:24 28:1,2	Patrick 2:2 6:4	petitioner's 5:14	poor 66:1
opening 6:9,12	32:4 42:18,18	pay 21:3 68:6	10:12,15,20	poorly 66:22
6:14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	77:1 83:2,4	11:10 12:6,7	portion 52:17
opinion 33:13	43:4 44:24	86:9	12:11,17,18,22	position 7:12
92:24	45:9 58:24	paying 82:19	14:7,9,22 15:1	34:8 74:23
opposing 64:3	59:4 70:16,23	payment 26:6	15:5,14,15,22	75:1 92:3
option 82:12	70:24,24 71:19	36:20 86:4	16:6 17:9,10	possible 64:16
order 16:10 38:8	71:21,21,22	payments 36:14	42:9,14 43:3	93:10
41:4 66:9 81:8	pages 43:1 57:22	37:9	44:23 58:9,14	possibly 44:8
81:16 89:1	57:22 58:6	PCB 1:4 5:3	59:4 94:3,5	posted 93:24
orderly 5:19	paid 20:6 22:21	people 36:23	phone 80:22	potentially 81:5
orders 76:19	22:23 25:19	37:1 53:13	87:8 95:22	practice 40:6
original 78:14	38:15 67:8,12	57:6 61:5	picking 73:12	66:2,7 69:16
OSFM 10:8	71:6 75:15	66:10,14 75:16	place 7:13 48:18	practices 60:2
16:20 28:6,6	76:20 83:1	75:18,19,21,22	60:3 65:10	65:7,9
30:22 32:14	paper 39:16	76:1,1,4 78:15	66:9 75:5,16	premises 29:7
39:9,12,20	papers 42:22	80:21 81:1	80:3 83:11	prepare 18:10
47:12	70:13	83:12 84:6,8	plan 22:1 38:19	36:13 37:7,9
outside 48:9	paperwork	85:15 86:17,19	66:18 90:22	38:9
49:15	18:24 19:16	87:7,20 89:21	plans 22:5 23:12	prepared 16:19
overpaid 26:9	46:10 66:9	percent 9:7	23:16 52:12,15	62:22
overridden	part 11:11 18:23	performed 22:9	85:19	present 5:10
31:14	27:23 40:6	25:1,6 26:3	play 67:6	73:2 87:19
overriding 32:8	42:19,20 52:9	27:4	Plaza 2:3	93:19
Overruled 48:12	71:16,17 72:10	period 33:19	please 6:2 8:10	presented 7:18
owed 26:7	78:16 79:1	37:23 38:3	8:18 10:19,20	presenting 73:3
owned 82:8	88:15	51:10,24 53:14	12:11,22 14:8	Presently 61:7
owner 7:11 9:20	partial 57:20	61:17 83:8	16:7 25:10	pressure 75:14
14:14 16:9	participation	periods 57:19	35:3,19,23	pretty 45:22
18:11,18 19:15	94:17	-	44:24 50:16	46:2 56:21
	particular 26:16	permission 62:11		
19:20 59:16,21	38:16 53:16		51:23 66:21	previous 32:8
79:24 80:6,18	81:12	permit 74:21	73:22 PO 2:8	38:12 47:24
81:7,15,15	particularly	permits 63:9		81:15 82:3
82:24 86:21	37:1	person 7:11	point 11:6 16:21	88:11,21 91:13
88:11,17,19,22	parties 6:2	67:15 68:6,10	16:23 18:9	92:6
89:16,20 91:6	parties 0.2 party 79:17 80:5	80:5 82:3,8	20:13 22:24	previously 6:20
owner/operator	party / 9.1 / 60.3	84:24 85:20	23:7,8 25:18	13:20,23 32:14
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

April 10, 2014

T				
56:15	87:22 88:4,17	providing 13:6	70:14 73:20	28:3 34:6
PRILLAMAN	proceeding 6:17	provision 30:12	83:20 90:19,19	35:20 42:15,19
2:2	33:15,20	public 5:7,10,11	91:18,18	50:17 57:18
print 11:20 39:8	proceedings 1:9	5:12 12:2	rates 24:3,6	62:21 70:2,5,7
-	5:21 73:9	45:23 56:19	36:13	
39:10,12 45:5 46:8	94:15 95:7,9	93:24 94:1	read 19:11	70:8,10,17
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			71:16,18,20,22
printed 10:21	process 11:11	pull 38:9,10,11	28:12,13,14	72:10 73:11,23
43:11,17	52:1,10 55:15	77:4	reading 19:12	93:22
printing 39:13	55:18 65:19	pulled 42:19	37:17	records 10:10
printout 45:15	69:19 75:15	pulling 77:7	ready 35:6,9	88:20
57:18	76:8,15 78:5	purpose 5:18	53:23	Recross-Exam
prior 28:18 29:3	80:3,5,17,23	23:17,19 61:10	real 42:24	3:7,20
29:12 33:1,4	81:8,16 83:4	pursuant 5:23	really 7:3 23:18	recruited 75:16
33:12 34:1,19	83:10,13 85:18	5:24 6:19	32:7 37:23	Redirect 3:6,19
34:19 41:1	86:13 88:15,22	push 35:5 75:12	42:3,3 44:4	redo 91:7
48:5 49:16	88:23 89:1	put 29:9 34:6	45:24 49:13,20	reductions
59:16,21 60:15	processed 76:8	40:5 75:15	51:9 55:14	24:18 46:14
65:11 70:10	processes 18:20		78:5 82:11	referenced
74:19	produce 67:9	Q	93:6	58:18
priorities 83:23	program 13:11	qualifies 92:4	reason 40:22	referring 55:12
prioritize 85:3	36:18 37:19	question 19:8	53:16 55:7	57:16
priority 85:22	51:19 53:19	31:18 33:9	56:9,11 67:10	refrain 66:3
86:8	74:17 77:16	34:14,16 37:12	recall 21:13,16	regarding 60:16
probably 9:7	78:16 79:11	42:24 55:21	25:15 31:3	80:16
21:12 25:9	83:8 86:1 90:8	59:19 61:11	53:1,6 62:19	regardless 79:19
52:16 53:9	90:9 92:1	63:24 64:8	receipt 65:7	79:19
66:1,3 82:2,4	programs 18:21	66:2,22 68:13	receive 10:2	regards 13:10
84:24	project 8:23	91:17	17:20 26:5,23	register 16:11
problem 51:17	properties 20:17	questions 27:8	37:14 38:5	18:15
67:13,15 69:17	property 5:15	31:17 34:20,22	39:15 61:13	registered 14:16
84:22	9:21,24 14:14	49:24 50:1	90:13	28:18 29:3,12
problems 51:18	14:15 20:15,19	64:6 66:4	received 13:8	30:10 34:1
procedural 6:1	21:17,18 22:14	69:24 71:14	28:19 38:7	registering
94:3	80:1	72:4 77:22,23	47:8 64:24	17:17
procedure 76:9	proposal 22:2	78:2 83:19	65:1,14 84:15	registration
procedures	proposed 24:2	90:16,18 91:16	receiving 17:1	13:16 28:23
48:18 75:4	25:16	93:15	26:13	regulations 7:6
77:12	Protection 1:6	quickly 42:24	recipient 87:23	11:24 24:3
proceed 18:11	2:6 6:7 18:16	75:15 76:12,16	recognize 15:5	25:8 37:17
18:17 19:1,14	31:14 32:2	quite 49:11	56:4	regulatory
19:14,20 22:2	36:5 50:21		recollection	76:11
32:16 65:21	85:23 86:14	R	52:24	reimburse 46:20
66:19 67:3	provide 5:11	R 2:1 8:16 27:11	record 5:9,20	reimbursed 81:6
80:3,6,18 81:7	provided 10:2	31:8,8,20,20	6:3 8:19 16:2	reimbursement
86:18,21 87:14	24:11	35:17 50:14	19:7 27:24	5:14 10:3
33.13,2137.11				
L	·	•	1	1

April 10, 2014

				rage 100
23:24 25:23	Reporter 33:6	retained 17:2	27:11 31:20,20	separately 67:22
26:1 37:7,8	95:6	review 11:16	70:14,14 83:20	service 9:14
38:4 39:2,24	represent 42:15	12:11,22 14:8	83:20 91:18,18	11:4 20:20,23
40:7,18 41:3	request 5:8 10:9	24:1 38:22	safe 24:8	21:2,5,8
41:18,22 46:13	12:13 13:2	42:23 46:11	saith 8:15 35:16	Services 8:24
47:3,16 48:1	15:11 16:14,16	51:16 52:11	50:13 73:18	setup 76:8
51:19,21 52:17	17:21 25:24	53:10 76:6,10	samples 22:19	Shane 3:2 8:8,13
53:7 56:22	26:2,6 41:18	77:7,16 78:4,7	samples 22:19 sampling 19:23	8:20
66:17 68:3,14	41:22 68:19	78:15 83:10	sampling 17.25 saw 56:13	sharing 60:3
70:18 81:18,24	71:10	85:14,19 86:10	saw 30.13 saying 19:9	Shaw 2:2 3:4,6
82:3,6 89:4,21	requested 17:23	90:23	33:11 55:22	3:10,13,18,20
89:22 90:4,12	63:1,2	reviewed 11:13	57:13 68:8	6:4,4,11 8:7,17
reimbursements	require 81:8	16:15,17 41:20	85:2 89:7	10:11,18 12:5
52:9	require 81.8	54:1 75:4,14	91:21	12:10,16,21
	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
rejected 66:17 67:1	20:16 22:18	75:21 76:12,19 77:11 83:6	says 16:9 29:2	14:1,5,17 15:4
	23:20 47:3		29:10 32:18,20 33:23 82:24	15:21 17:4,7
relate 22:8	65:21 68:14,18	84:6,7 87:6		17:19 27:7
relating 5:14	77:8 80:18	reviewers 51:16	Science 9:10	31:6,9,17 34:3
relationship	84:10	85:5	scope 48:10	34:7,13,21
11:4	requirement	reviewing 38:4	screen 79:5	35:6,18 42:8
relatively 21:6	65:22,23	40:7 41:18	screened 51:15	42:12 48:7,16
release 28:20	reserve 94:9,13	87:3	screening 54:10	49:24 50:8,15
93:8,9	residential	reviews 23:21	se 23:22	58:4,8,12 64:2
relied 57:2	21:18	57:11 60:22	section 28:13	64:10 69:23
remediation	resolved 6:22	76:1,16	51:7 74:3,4,6	72:5,7,11,14
10:1 19:17	resources 86:24	rid 83:24	74:21 75:1,2	72:23 83:21
20:1 22:18	respect 60:12	right 8:10 20:3	75:13,24 76:3	90:16 91:17,19
53:19 89:24	Respondent 1:7	22:17 29:19	92:19 94:2	93:14 94:7,9
90:7,13	2:10	32:17 33:20	Section's 5:24	94:18
remember 42:3	respondent's	39:5,22 46:22	sections 33:17	She'd 35:9
44:4,9 48:23	94:4	72:1 73:13	Security 67:14	sheet 13:7 28:2
49:8,12 89:17	response 17:20	77:9	68:1 69:8,13	28:22 80:22
remotely 20:22	26:5 61:13	Robinson 9:13	see 11:7 37:8	87:12
remove 89:12	responsibilities	role 41:17 44:13	55:8 58:17	short 73:4
removed 15:9	10:6	44:15,17,17	70:11 79:1,5,6	shorthand 95:5
repealed 32:23	responsibility	roles 51:24	85:23 88:9	95:7
32:24	50:24 60:21	room 52:4 92:13	seen 66:14	show 7:16 57:24
Repeat 66:21	89:18	round 83:7	selling 21:10	70:16
rephrase 64:8	responsible	rules 5:23 6:1	79:21	shown 78:23
reply 94:5	27:17	64:12 80:24	sends 60:7	shows 43:17
report 1:9 11:19	rest 78:15 93:20	91:23 94:3	senior 8:23	sic 60:20
19:18 23:6	restructure	run 78:4	sense 86:7	side 51:20,21
24:12,16 25:18	83:11		sent 47:12	52:14 77:15,19
reported 2:12	result 20:11	<u>S</u>	separate 51:24	77:22 78:3,6,7
95:6	46:14	S 2:1 4:1 27:11	78:5 85:20	sign 66:10 87:13

April 10, 2014

				Tage 107
89:3,10	17:18	stamped 38:8	93:2	75:7
signature 95:13	small 21:6	stand 7:11 8:8	streamline 76:9	sure 14:3 24:1
signed 41:5,6	smaller 51:2	94:15	streamlined	29:24 30:4
significance	smoothly 78:4	stands 7:13	76:15 86:12	42:3,17 45:24
55:23 56:4	89:2	start 80:13 91:9	Street 5:15	46:4 47:7,11
signing 81:17	Social 67:14,24	state 7:18,21	95:21	48:18 49:9
similar 26:1,4	69:8,13	8:18 9:11 13:8	stuck 91:11	50:6 56:6 61:3
56:21 57:18	soil 19:23	14:19 16:16	stuck 91:11 stuff 19:16	67:4 70:3,9
similarly 52:13	sold 79:20	17:21 18:13	21:22 35:1	82:18,19 83:3
simple 6:24	somebody 81:3	28:19 29:20	38:21 42:21	86:14
simple 0.24 simply 84:23	90:2 92:13	31:15 35:19	53:22 91:10	swear 8:10
sins 89:12	Somewhat 56:20	36:7 50:16	style 21:8	swear 8.10 sworn 8:15
Sit 8:9	63:19	60:4,7,10,15	subject 26:11	35:16 50:13
		60:21 62:18	subject 20.11 submit 17:3	73:18
site 7:5,8,10,19 8:1 9:13 13:13	sorry 17:4 33:6 49:20			
	49:20 sort 58:19 67:17	63:5 67:8	23:20,23 25:14	system 43:11
19:22,24 21:12		73:22 87:24	27:20 40:4	
21:24 22:5,10	77:18 88:22	95:1	68:14,15,18	T 4:1 8:16,16
22:20 23:3,5	sought 6:23	stated 28:4 48:4	81:13,24 82:1	27:11 31:8,8
23:11,15 24:11	sounds 22:7	statement 6:10	88:13 89:3	31:20 35:17,17
24:15 25:1,5,6	83:22	6:12,15 25:9	submittal 67:2	50:14,14 70:14
25:17 26:16,17	source 22:12	88:8 94:8,10	submittals 84:10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
27:21 31:23	speak 19:6	94:13	submitted 11:20	73:20,20 83:20
32:20 34:20	23:17 57:10	states 7:20	11:22 12:14	90:19,19 91:18
39:1 53:18	speaking 75:6,8	stating 15:8	16:20 19:18	take 11:4 16:18
57:9 64:16	88:23	station 9:14 11:5	21:22,24 23:5	35:4 39:17
75:4 79:11,14	special 37:18	20:20,23 21:5	23:12,15 25:23	72:10 73:6
79:18,19,20,21	54:4	21:9 69:3	26:2 39:3,18	80:1,8,19 88:4
79:23 80:1,8	Specialist 50:21	81:22	84:12,21 85:17	89:18 90:3,14
80:12 81:12	specific 25:15	statute 92:5	85:18 87:19	taken 73:7 95:11
91:2,5 92:4,8	42:24 48:17	stems 5:13	88:12 91:5	takeover 81:1,4
93:8	69:12	stenographic	submitting	takes 79:21
sites 51:17 79:10	specifically 17:2	95:10	23:18 27:17	talk 49:14,18,21
sitting 19:10	21:16	step 18:10 34:24	Subpart 24:3,6	87:12 89:22
situation 20:2	spent 9:3	steps 11:4	25:8 36:12	90:11 92:18,20
66:24 83:23	Springfield 1:13	Steven 2:13 95:5	subsection 28:14	92:22 93:1
92:23	2:4,8 5:9 8:24	95:20	subsequent	talked 77:7
situations 65:18	SS 95:2	stop 23:4	85:15	talking 37:23
66:13,14	staff 85:20	storage 9:6	suggested 88:1	55:14 69:16
skipped 19:4	stage 19:19,22	27:14 28:18	Suite 2:3 95:21	92:10
Slightom 1:3 5:4	20:5,11 21:21	29:11,13 31:11	sum 70:8	tank 29:7,15,18
6:5 16:22	21:24 22:1,3,5	39:1 74:17,20	summary 6:19	30:14 31:11
40:19 41:12,22	22:20 23:3,15	74:24	38:13	39:1 74:5,17
52:23 64:24	24:11,13,14,15	store 30:15,17	supervisor	74:20,24
70:10	25:1,6 26:2	stores 21:12	52:20	tanks 7:19 9:6
Slightom's	53:7	straightforward	supplied 47:13	14:15 15:8
			FF	
	•	•	•	

April 10, 2014

				Page 106
16:11 17:17	things 9:22	65:10 69:2	type 39:9 45:2	versus 5:4
18:15 27:14	25:13 26:19	75:5,11,17	46:12 56:21	voluntary 80:20
28:18,23 29:12	36:20 38:1	76:18 83:8,15	78:19	80:24 81:2
29:13,16,20	52:14 57:5	84:4 86:2	/0.19	90:9
, ,	66:10 76:17,22	91:10	U	vs 1:5
30:2,10,16,19 34:1 90:5	76:23 77:19,19	times 40:16	underground	VS 1.3
tasked 76:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	47:20 66:4	9:6 14:15	$\overline{\mathbf{w}}$
tasked 70.3 tasks 22:9	77:23 78:3,6,7 83:8 84:3 89:4	79:20 84:21	27:14 28:17	W-9 51:17 67:9
		85:4	29:11,13,16,17	67:10 68:5,10
taxpayer 69:15 tech 35:24 36:4	91:23 92:18,20	title 9:2 18:11	29:19 30:2	68:18 81:20
36:10	92:21,21 think 16:1 19:4		31:11 39:1	82:1,8,9 83:5
technical 36:24	21:2 24:8	32:16 33:21,21 35:23 36:2	74:5,16,20,24	waive 6:11
			understand 49:9	want 19:10 28:1
38:23,24 46:12	25:12 30:23	50:20,24 74:1	52:8 85:21	28:21 35:5
51:20 52:11,18	32:15 33:21	today 7:3 53:4	91:20	37:24 66:2
53:13 59:1,2,8	35:8 37:10	65:13 75:20	understandable	70:11 80:16
59:11 76:1,1	40:20 53:21	told 34:7 82:10	42:5	82:20,20,24
77:15,19,22	55:16 57:17,23	85:2	understanding	90:6
78:3,7,16	58:5 59:3 60:6	total 9:3	11:12 24:4	wanted 53:24
83:12 84:6,7,9	62:13 92:24	totally 80:23	36:22 52:11	62:9
90:22 92:21	Third 5:15	track 57:5	55:16,22 89:6	wanting 86:17
technician 36:10	Thorpe 3:2 8:8	tracking 43:10	89:13	wants 80:19
tell 11:17 13:9	8:13,20,21	46:7 78:17	unfair 85:1	81:3 90:2
42:2 65:15	31:10 34:24	trained 55:10	unfolds 67:17	warning 26:24
86:18 87:1,7,8	62:22	training 37:14	unit 74:23 75:18	wasn't 32:21
87:14,16	thought 28:9	37:19 55:11,13	77:19,21 78:13	59:16 71:10
telling 14:14	29:4 70:23	55:15,18 56:3	University 9:11	way 7:14 66:6
ten 75:18	thousands 87:4	transcribed	unnecessary	we'll 5:1 69:22
term 37:6	three 21:24 22:3	95:11	6:18	70:6 94:9
terms 11:3 40:1	22:5,20 23:3	transcript 93:23	unusual 41:2	we're 22:18
66:10 92:5	23:15 24:11,14	95:9	93:3	23:20 33:20
testified 48:11	24:15 25:1,6	trial 95:7,10	unwilling 67:14	37:24 42:7
52:4	28:14 29:21	true 34:9 52:13	upfront 37:1	58:8 72:17
testify 35:9	33:18 49:10	63:20 84:4,19	use 29:7 45:6	75:6,8 82:10
testimony 52:8	ties 82:17	85:5,7 87:21	67:24 78:20	82:19 83:1,3
73:4 thank 6:8 50:2	time 6:2 9:5 18:9	95:8 truth 42:2	84:14	86:23 87:6
	20:20,23 22:11		uses 78:19 87:22	92:9
72:8 93:17	24:22 30:24	trying 9:21	UST 1:5 28:1	we've 6:21 32:19
94:16,18,20 Thanks 8:4	31:5,12 32:1	51:23 53:14	usually 66:4	86:24
94:19	36:19 37:23	83:3,16	69:10 93:3,9	Webb 1:11 5:1,3
	38:3 39:1 41:13 42:18	turn 70:22 twice 83:2	utilize 57:4,11	6:8,13 8:5,9
theme 76:9				10:13 14:3
thin 26:22 thing 47:12	44:1,19 51:1,9 51:13 53:14	two 7:7 18:20,21 21:2 31:22	V	27:9 31:6
61:21 77:2	54:5,9 56:14	68:21 82:17	valid 33:16	34:23 35:3,7
87:17 89:23	57:5,19 63:13	93:13	version 15:20,22	35:11 48:12
07.17 07.23	37.3,17 03.13	73.13	ĺ	20.11 10.12
	l	l	1	ı

April 10, 2014

T				
50:2,6 64:7	56:6 59:23	1021 1:12 2:7	75:11,21	50 3:13 21:7
70:3,6 71:15	writing 45:21	1021 1.12 2.7 103 5:15	2009 52:16	528-2517 2:4
71:19,23 72:1	written 45:14	103 3.13 109 42:18	77:14 78:12	56 4:13
*			2010 23:5 40:21	57.9 28:13
72:5,8,13,19	wrong 61:9	10th 1:13 5:4		31.9 28.13
72:24 73:5,10	wrote 59:7	11-25 1:4 5:3	43:14,17,21	6
73:19 93:17,21	X	115 44:24	45:15 48:5	
94:11,14,20		116 59:5	51:10 53:2	6 4:10 15:14,16
website 56:19	X 3:1 4:1 8:16	118 43:4	54:11	16:7
58:3 59:13	27:11 31:8,20	119 42:18	2014 1:1,14	60603 95:22
93:24	35:17 50:14	11th 16:10	95:15	62701 2:4
weekly 92:19	70:14 73:20	12 4:8,9 70:24	217 2:4,9	62794 2:8
went 19:19,21	83:20 90:19	71:21,22	21st 5:16	6th 94:4
85:4	91:18	120 76:11,13	22 51:8	
weren't 11:1	T 7	13 4:10	22nd 93:23	7
34:1	<u>Y</u>	14th 54:10	24th 94:1	7 4:11 17:9,11
West 95:21	yeah 21:1 24:8	15 4:11 36:5,17	26 75:21	27:23
white 92:17	25:12 26:20	49:12 75:19,22	27 3:5	70 3:14
wiggle 92:12	56:24 66:1	16 18:11 32:16	27th 94:5	700 76:7 83:6
willing 62:7	67:23 72:14	33:21,21	28th 28:18,20	85:14
witness 3:2,9,12	87:5	16th 10:22	29:3,12 45:14	73 3:17
3:16 8:6,11,14	year 89:17	18 9:4 27:15	71:5	731 33:16
8:18 14:12	years 9:3,4	31:11 51:4	71.5	75 9:7
15:18 17:13	27:15,16 31:11	18th 28:24 29:1	3	782-2893 2:9
33:8 35:1,5,12	36:3,5,17,18	19276 2:8	3 4:7 12:17,19	
35:15,19 48:14	38:1 42:4	192 70 2.8 1989 28:19,20	12:23	8
-	49:10,12 51:3	,	31 3:6,7	8 3:4 4:5,12 42:7
50:5,7,12,16	51:3,4,8 52:19	29:3,12 30:11	312 95:22	42:10,14 43:3
64:3,3,5 72:6	63:17 64:13	34:1 71:5	325 2:3	44:23 59:4
73:17 95:13	74:13 92:1	74:22	34 27:24	95:21
worded 66:22		1990 16:11	35 3:10	83 3:18
work 9:6 19:17	\mathbf{Z}	28:24 29:2	37 36:7	
20:5,9 24:5		1990's 70:19		9
25:1,2,6,19	0	1991 32:4 65:9	3rd 94:6	
26:3 27:4	084-004675 2:13	65:11,15	4	
31:11 41:5	95:23	1996 74:23,23	4 4:8 14:7,10	
46:7 48:6		19th 12:14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
52:14 53:8,19	1	1st 74:9	43:14	
53:23,24 56:15	1 2:3 4:5 10:12		40 4:12	
57:9 81:16	10:16,20 11:10	2	400 83:7	
83:1,2 86:8,10	57:16 72:21	2 4:6 12:6,8,12	419-9292 95:22	
89:11 91:13	10 1:1 4:6,7	20 38:1 51:3	45-day 11:19	
worked 27:13	10(c) 71:1,24	20-day 91:4	19:18 91:3	
48:4 74:21	100 21:7	2006 80:4	4th 43:16,21	
85:9	101.600 5:24	2007 9:16 10:22		
worried 90:3	101.628 94:2	12:15 95:21	5	
wouldn't 30:4	101.628 <i>9</i> 4.2 101.632 6:1	2008 74:9 75:1	5 4:9 14:22 15:2	
,, outuit t 50.1	101.052 U.1		15:6,23	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	I